1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE			
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION		
3				
4	April 25, 2024 - 1:01 p.m. 21 South Fruit Street Suite 10			
5	Concord, NH			
6				
7	RE:	DE 24-044 LIBERTY UTILITIES (GRANITE STATE		
8		ELECTRIC) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES: 2023 Vegetation Management Program Plan.		
9		2020 vegetation namagement frogram fran.		
10	PRESENT:	Chairman Daniel C. Goldner, <i>Presiding</i> Commissioner Carleton B. Simpson		
11		Ben Martin-McDonough, Esq./PUC Legal		
12		Advisor		
13		Tracey Russo, Clerk		
14	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities:		
15		Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.		
16		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Michael Crouse, Esq.		
17		Charles Underhill, <i>Dir. of Rates and Markets Policy</i>		
18		Office of Consumer Advocate		
19		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy: Marie-Helene B. Bailinson, Esq.		
20		Paul B. Dexter, Esq. Jay Dudley, Electric Division		
21		(Regulatory Support Division)		
22				
23	Court Rep	oorter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52		
24				

1					
2	INDEX				
3	PAGE NO.				
4	DISCUSSION RE: EXHIBITS 5				
5	SCOPE OF THE HEARING BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER 7				
6	OPENING STATEMENTS BY:				
7	Mr. Sheehan 8				
8	Mr. Crouse 10 Ms. Bailinson 14				
9	QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER 12, 13, 15, 17, 19, 22, 25				
10	WITNESS PANEL: ROBERT GARCIA				
11	HEATHER GREEN				
12	JEFFREY FABER				
13	Direct examination by Mr. Sheehan 26 Cross-examination by Mr. Crouse 31				
14	Cross-examination by Ms. Bailinson 40 Interrogatories by Cmsr. Simpson 62				
15	Interrogatories by Chairman Goldner 79 Redirect examination by Mr. Sheehan 88, 95, 97				
16					
17	WITNESS: JAY E. DUDLEY				
	Direct examination by Ms. Bailinson 99				
18	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Simpson 102				
19	* * *				
20	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:				
21	Mr. Sheehan 109, 111, 112				
22	Mr. Crouse 114 Ms. Bailinson 115				
23	QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER 111				
24					

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Direct Testimony of Heather Green, Jeffrey Faber, and	premarked
5		Robert Garcia, with attachments $(03-15-24)$	
6	2		premarked
7	۷	Jay E. Dudley (DOE) $(04-19-24)$	premarked
8	3		premarked
9	-	Charles J. Underhill (OCA) $(04-19-24)$	
10	4	RESERVED FOR RECORD REQUEST	107
11		(Liberty to send a list of adjustments made post 01-01-24	
12		needed to close the books, and also any adjustments made after	
13		the books were closed for the Vegetation Management Plan, with	
14		descriptions of the adjustments)	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROCEEDING

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Good afternoon. I'm Commissioner Goldner. I'm joined today by Commissioner Simpson.

We're today for a hearing in Docket

Number DE 24-044, in which the Commission

docketed Liberty Utilities' 2023 Vegetation

Management Program Plan, including Liberty's

proposal to update its VMP rate for effect

May 1st, 2024.

This hearing was noticed on March 29th, 2024. And the Commission's jurisdiction over this matter is based on the just and reasonable ratemaking standard of RSA 374:2 and RSA 378:7.

Let's take appearances, beginning with the Company.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good afternoon,
Commissioners. Mike Sheehan, for Liberty
Utilities (Granite State Electric).

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. The New Hampshire Department of Energy?

MS. BAILINSON: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. This is Marie-Helene Bailinson.

I'm an attorney with the Department, and along

1 with me is Paul Dexter, who is my co-counsel, he 2. is a Senior Hearings Examiner; and Jay Dudley, who is a Utility Analyst in this matter. 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Very good. 5 you. And the Office of the Consumer Advocate? 6 MR. CROUSE: Good afternoon, 7 Commissioners. My name is Michael Crouse, Staff 8 Attorney for the OCA, representing residential customers in this matter. 9 10 Joining with me today is our new 11 Director of Rates and Markets Policy, Charles 12 Underhill. You may also refer to him as "Chuck". 1.3 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. Welcome, 15 Mr. Underhill. 16 Okay. Thank you. Before delving into 17 the parties' cases, we would like to first 18 discuss what the issues are at this hearing and 19 the best way to resolve them. 20 To start, we note that the parties 21 filed a Joint Witness and Exhibit List on 2.2 April 18th, 2024, that includes three exhibits. 23 However, neither the DOE, nor the OCA, filed 24 their witness's technical statements as exhibits.

So, first, we ask that, after this hearing, both parties file those statements as exhibits.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

And, second, I'll just ask if the parties anticipate introducing any additional exhibits during these proceedings?

MR. SHEEHAN: Liberty does not.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay.

MR. CROUSE: Apologies for that oversight. The OCA does not plan to file anything other than our technical statement.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.

MS. BAILINSON: And the Department does not plan to file any other exhibits other than the technical statement.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. And the Commission has both of the documents in question, we just don't have them filed as exhibits. So, it's just to file them as exhibits after the hearing today please.

Okay. Based on the issues noticed in the Commencement of Adjudicative Proceeding and the parties' filings, we believe there are two issues to resolve at today's proceeding. The first is to set a new VMP rate for effect on May 1st, 2024. Liberty has proposed a new rate of zero, while the DOE has proposed maintaining the currently effective rate credit of \$0.00002 per kilowatt-hour.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

The second issue is whether the

Commission should permit Liberty to carryforward

budgeted funds it did not spend in calendar year

2023. It seems that all parties agree that

Liberty should be able to carryforward the amount

proposed. However, the DOE has recommended

ring-fencing that amount to guarantee it is used

to remove hazardous trees.

In addition to these two issues, that must be resolved in this docket, the DOE and OCA have also highlighted concerns as to whether Liberty is satisfying its vegetation management obligations outlined in the Settlement Agreement in DE 19-064.

The OCA recommended opening a new docket to investigate these concerns further. We are interested in knowing if the OCA intends to file a petition requesting the Commission open such a docket, and, if so, when it intends to do

so.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, before getting into these issues, we would like to afford each of the parties an opportunity to make an opening statement. In the opening statements, please address the following three items: Have we accurately described the issues for today's hearing? (2) Briefly explain what your witnesses will testify to today. And (3) Given the DOE's recommendation, we'd like to hear from the parties as to whether the rates for May 1st, 2024 should be provisional, and, if so, what those provisional rates should be.

So, let's begin with those opening statements, beginning with the Company.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.

I agree with the statement of issues, at the top of the list, the rate and the carryforward. And, of course, as you articulated, the Company is proposing a rate of zero, and a carryforward of roughly \$50,000 into the next year.

I've already lost my train of thought of the other items.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That's all right, I

1 have notes.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

So, the second one was briefly explain the witnesses $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$

MR. SHEEHAN: Sure.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: -- what they will testify to today. And, then, finally, the question of the provisional rates.

MR. SHEEHAN: The witnesses will testify consistent with their written testimony, with the adder of some responses to what has been in the technical statements.

In particular, Ms. Green will address the argument that \$100,000 should not be included in so-called -- what I call "training costs".

The suggestion that the Company should bear the \$600,000 delta to between what was spent and what could have been spent to better meet the training requirements.

And I think that's all. The other stuff will come up.

And, then, last, as far as provisional rates, we had this discussion the other day. And our request is you not do that. You approve the proposed rate of zero. Always subject to audits

and reconciliation later.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

This VMP dollars is not the exact same as a reconciling mechanism, because it is built into base rates. But, in effect, it is. The \$2.2 million we are collecting that we can't keep. We have to spend it on veg. management. And, if we underspend, we have to return it to customers, just like any reconciling mechanism, unless we roll it over. So, I think we could analytically think of this as a reconciling mechanism. So, the process of approving the proposed rate, and subject to audit and any adjustments later, seems to make the most sense to the Company.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you. We'll move now to the Office of the Consumer Advocate. And, yes, please proceed.

MR. CROUSE: Thank you.

Addressing the -- I guess the issues that you've identified first. The OCA is not objecting to the Company's proposed rate of zero, and the carryover the OCA is also not objecting to.

However, the concerns that the OCA has

{DE 24-044} {04-25-24}

is that the Settlement Agreement from 19-064 was a commitment by Liberty, approved by the Commission, to be on a four-year trim cycle. And that Liberty's own testimony is neither compliant with that Settlement Agreement, nor Puc 307.10. So, the Office of the Consumer Advocate has lots of concerns about whether or not ratepayers are actually seeing the benefit of that commitment.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

The OCA is certainly understanding that the Company has experienced difficulties, such as with Consolidated no longer providing that subsidy for joint ownership, and some other matters that the Company has experienced, and having to rely on Asplundh primarily.

But, in the view of the OCA, the

Company had committed to that budget with a ten

percent overage cap that should not be allowed to

be collected in excess until decided in a future

rate case.

So, when the OCA sees, in Table 3 from the Company's attachment, these deltas of what it would cost to come into compliance, the OCA sees that as "this is what it would cost in order to come back in, but we're not doing that."

And, so, we're concerned about that deferred 214 miles, and, by approving or going along with these VMP reconciliations, that we're not just waiving our right to enforce the Settlement Agreement as agreed to.

And, then, with respect to what Mr.

Underhill will be testifying to, we'll just be sticking with our technical statement, and explaining some of the reasons we think an investigation into how to resolve that matter that I just identified.

Thank you.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: So, maybe a follow-up question.

Is this the right forum, in the opinion of the OCA, to sort through those issues of the Company's mileage and the cost of that mileage and so forth? Or, would the OCA prefer a petition, and then a subsequent proceeding?

MR. CROUSE: That's certainly a conversation we've had internally. And, to address the earlier question as well, "are we planning to file a petition?", we first wanted to flag that issue and raise it here. And, then,

we're certainly not opposed to filing a petition.

But we wanted to bring this up, because the

current rate case is stayed. This is a matter

that is being discussed there.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

But we are seeing 214 deferred miles. We're seeing reasons why the Salem circuit isn't being addressed. We're seeing a number of matters that are concerning to us. And, so, we just don't want to see a situation where we go into the rate case, we haven't flagged these issues, and all of a sudden the cost of that deferred work, that has the guardrails in place from the Settlement Agreement are now able to be collected, if that Settlement Agreement is no longer in effect.

So, we're just trying to make sure that residential customers, who have been paying for a four-year trim cycle, are not receiving that benefit. We can make sure those costs have been identified. And, so, that way, in the rate case, if that is the appropriate place, or if the Commission is amenable to an investigation, we can sort that out.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.

And, then, finally, does the Consumer Advocate have a position or recommendation on the rates being provisional?

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. CROUSE: The OCA has no confliction with what the Department has said in its technical statement about making it provisional. So, how the Commission chooses to advance, we wouldn't object in either sense.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: All right. Thank you.

And we'll move now to the New Hampshire Department of Energy.

MS. BAILINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. A few points to make.

The Department references a technical statement, and would approve the Company's proposed carryover, as Mr. Chair stated, of the 50,240, on the condition that this amount can only be applied toward the costs of hazard tree removal.

Relative to a rate increase, the

Department's position is that we are unable to

make a determination relative to proposed rates

that -- in other words, that the proposed rates

are just and reasonable, because of the problems with the 2023 numbers. As stated in the technical statement, the Commission — the Department would recommend that the Commission postpone its ruling on approval or disapproval of the Company's VMP rate request, until such time as the Commission rules on the reliability of all of 2022 and 2023 accounting data, and the Department's Audit Division has completed its review of this filing.

2.

1.3

1 4

2.1

2.2

In the interim period, until the Commission's ruling, given that Liberty's proposed VMP rate was to take affect on May 1, 2024, the Department recommends that the Commission continue and extend the existing credit of 0.00002 to remain in effect, until the Commission issues its final decision.

And, finally, regarding testimony, our expert, Jay Dudley, will be presenting a summary of his technical statement.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.

And does the Department -- would the Department
like to highlight or recommend a position on the
provisional aspect of the ruling?

```
1
                    MS. BAILINSON:
                                    Just a moment.
 2
                    [Atty. Bailinson, Atty. Dexter, and Mr.
 3
                    Dudley conferring.]
                                    Thank you. Our
 4
                    MS. BAILINSON:
 5
         position is that the rates be provisional,
 6
         because we're recommending that the Commission
 7
         keep the rates as is, pending the further review.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.
 9
                    And can the Department weigh in on the
10
         OCA's position, relative to the OCA's concerns
11
         and potential petition and future proceeding?
12
         other words, are those -- are the OCA's concerns
1.3
         with the -- is the Department's position that
14
         those belong in today's hearing or a future
15
         proceeding?
16
                    [Atty. Bailinson and Mr. Dudley
17
                    conferring.]
18
                    MS. BAILINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19
         A few points.
20
                    We addressed many of the concerns in
2.1
         the -- you know, raised by the OCA in the 23-039
2.2
         docket in our testimony. And we believe that
23
         those matters are best dealt with there.
24
                    Mr. Dudley will elaborate more on this,
```

1 but our understanding of this proceeding is that 2. the costs and implementation deal with a 2023 3 Vegetable [sic] Management Program Plan. 4 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. So, I just 5 want to repeat back and make sure I understand. 6 So, the Department's position is that 7 the OCA's concerns, relative to not doing enough miles, the cost of those miles and so forth, 8 belongs in a different proceeding, either in 039 9 10 or a separate proceeding? 11 MS. BAILINSON: Yes. And I'd like to say "Vegetation", and not "Vegetable". 12 1.3 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. 14 MS. BAILINSON: Taking that back. 15 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That made it a lot 16 easier on Liberty. They were perfectly happy 17 with vegetables. 18 Mr. Sheehan, would you like to weigh in on the OCA's -- on the OCA issue? 19 20 MR. SHEEHAN: Sure, before Ms. Green 2.1 talks about carrots. 2.2 I agree that they were raised here, 23 some of those questions. But this isn't the 24 place to do it. It is teed up in the rate case.

We've made a proposal for a change to the VMP amount. There's been discovery on it, there's been testimony on it. It seems the logical place to do it.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, yes. So, I agree with -- so, I guess I agree with DOE, that it belongs in the rate case, not with OCA and a -- well, he can file the petition, but I think the other proceeding is the best place to do it.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: So, maybe the last question would be, so, for a future vegetation management, understand rate case, potentially another petition and so forth, but, in terms of, if we look backwards, I think the OCA's position is that the Company hasn't been doing enough in vegetation management in the past, and it needs to accelerate that work. So, it's --

MR. SHEEHAN: So, I can respond to that. Our position is, again, this is a reconciling mechanism, in effect, and we can do as much work as we have dollars to do. I completely disagree with the contention that we agreed to a four-year trim regardless of money. We agreed to a four-year trim and a \$2 million

amount. And, so, we did as much as we could with the money that we were given to do. And any extra is not shareholder money, it should come out of -- it's a customer benefit.

2.

1.3

2.2

Mr. Crouse is incorrect to say

"Customers have paid for a four-year trim." They
have paid \$2 million, and they have paid for as
many miles as we can trim with \$2 million. So,
there is a fundamental disagreement there.

And, if the Commission were to order us, for example, in this past year, to have paid the extra 600,000 out of shareholder money, that would be a taking, in our opinion.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: And I would like to hear from the OCA and the DOE on this, before we march into witness testimony. Because the -- what is the opinion of, first, the OCA, and then the Department, on what the Settlement says?

Does it say that "Liberty has to complete a quarter of the vegetation management every year", or does it say "The Company has a budget and does as much as it can within that budget"?

MR. CROUSE: Chairman Goldner, if I may respond?

I mean, this is straight from Liberty's testimony, and I could read it to you from the Settlement Agreement.

2.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Go ahead and read it into the record, just so that we have it, sir.

MR. CROUSE: It says: "The base rate increase agreed to in this Agreement includes an increase in the VMP spending to 2.2 million for 2020, which shall continue until changed in a future base rate case. The Company shall not recover any VMP expense that exceeds ten percent of that amount, or in excess of 2.42 million, through the annual reconciliation filing, or otherwise." End that quote.

So, in the view of the OCA, what's the point of that overage cap, if the Company is only going to spend 2.42 million. Or, alternatively, the Company just agreed to -- the first sentence, under the "VMP", "the Company shall maintain a four-year cycle for tree trimming", what's the point of a four-year trim cycle, with a 2.24 million over cap, if the Company is neither doing a four-year trim cycle, or identifying a delta in excess of that 2.24 million cap, for work that

should have been done, but is not being done.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you, Attorney Crouse. Department?

MR. DEXTER: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to weigh in, because I was involved in the settlement in the past cases.

We've actually raised this issue in the last two VMP dockets. And the Department has been concerned that Liberty has spent the money, but not done the work. They have not hit the four-year trim cycle, which they agreed to. I think it's unambiguous. And Mr. Crouse read it. I would have read the sentences in the order that they're in the Settlement, he reversed them a bit. But it starts with saying that "The Company shall maintain a four-year cycle", then goes in to talk about the 2.2 and the 2.4 million.

We've heard from Liberty over the years about why they were not able to meet the four-year cycle. And what we've said in past VMP dockets is that we're very concerned that this deferral -- that this deferred work could not be preapproved for recovery at some point, and we believe we've preserved the right to argue about

that.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

We are amenable to doing that in the upcoming rate case. However, if the OCA files a petition to open an investigation, looking backward or looking at this issue specifically, outside the rate case, we will participate in that fully.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: So, I'd like to recommend for today's proceeding, just in terms of simplifying matters, which is the point of this dialogue, that we shelve the issue of the Settlement and the miles and the cost and so forth, for either the rate case, or a petition from the Office of the Consumer Advocate, to simplify today's proceeding, and just focus on the rate, because there's a dispute there.

And I think what I heard on the carryforward is everyone is okay with the 50,000, but there's this additional amount of 100,000 relative to the SAP conversion, that I think I heard that the OCA would like to discuss today.

Do I have that right?

MR. CROUSE: It is just a simple clarification. Since the OCA has identified what

I'm just going to call the "elephant in the room", this \$6 million cost for what we believe for the Company to come into compliance, you know, we see that the SAP conversion has caused an overspend on administrative costs. Then, we see line items like there's no road clearing, because it's all administrative, we see no hazardous tree removal except an \$8,000 value.

2.

1.3

2.2

Then, we start asking, "Okay, well, there's a lot of administrative costs that are being taken from that 2.2 or the 2.4 with the excess." And, so, we could debate over what is or is not good in that bucket. But our view is that the Company should be making up the difference that goes over the 2.24 million cap. So, for us to say whether or not that 100,000 is appropriate, it's hard to argue that smaller number, when there's the bigger 3.6 million, you know, where do those fall in or not fall in.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. So, I don't mean to make this more difficult than it probably is. But, for today's proceeding, is the Consumer Advocate comfortable with a rate of zero, and a carryforward of 50,000, and that the rest of the

dispute, or the conversation, with respect to expenses, belongs in a different proceeding?

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. CROUSE: The OCA is amenable to having that in the rate case, or direction of the Consumer Advocate on a petition to be filed.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay.

MR. CROUSE: And, if appropriate, we could file a letter addressing how we would do that.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. And is it the position of the Consumer Advocate, just to refine that one more time, that you would want to file a petition for a separate proceeding, is that something that the Consumer Advocate would want to do?

I'm just trying to understand what the Commission should expect.

MR. CROUSE: Yes. Thank you for the opportunity to clarify. I was just saying that I would get confirmation from the Consumer Advocate, if it's his preference to have this in the rate case or by a petition. And, then, once I have his approval, I would file a letter with the Commission stating how we would intend to

```
1
         address those issues.
 2.
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I see. Would it be
 3
         okay just to close on the issue? Because this
 4
         is -- this filing is due May 1st, it's for effect
 5
         May 1st. Would you be comfortable filing
 6
         something by close of business Monday?
 7
                   MR. CROUSE: I think that's
 8
         appropriate.
                        Thank you.
 9
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.
                   Okay. Just closing back with the
10
11
         Department, so we can move on with our witnesses.
12
         Is the Department comfortable that the only
         dispute today is whether the rate should be zero
1.3
1 4
         or the small credit that is, I don't mean to use
15
         that in a pejorative way, but the credit amount
16
         of 0.00002? Is that the only dispute today?
17
                   MS. BATLINSON: Yes.
18
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.
19
                   MS. BAILINSON:
                                    Thank you.
20
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. All right.
2.1
         We can move forward.
2.2
                   Mr. Patnaude, would you please swear in
23
         the witnesses.
24
                    (Whereupon ROBERT GARCIA,
```

```
1
                    HEATHER GREEN, and JEFFREY FABER were
 2
                    duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)
 3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Mr. Sheehan,
 4
         please proceed.
 5
                    MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  Thank you.
 6
                      ROBERT GARCIA, SWORN
 7
                      HEATHER GREEN, SWORN
 8
                      JEFFREY FABER, SWORN
 9
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
10
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
11
         And we'll start at the far end. Mr. Garcia,
12
         please introduce yourself, your title with
1.3
         Liberty?
14
          (Garcia) My name is Robert Garcia. I'm the
15
         Manager of Rates and Regulatory Affairs for
16
         Liberty.
17
         And, Mr. Garcia, did you participate in the
18
         testimony that's been marked as "Exhibit 1" in
19
         this docket?
20
         (Garcia) I did.
21
         Do you have any corrections or changes you'd like
2.2
         to bring to the Commission's attention this
23
         afternoon?
24
          (Garcia) I do not.
```

```
1
         And for those parts that you are responsible for,
 2
         do you adopt it as your oral testimony today?
 3
    Α
          (Garcia) I do.
 4
         Thank you. Ms. Green, your turn. Please state
 5
         your name and your position with Liberty?
 6
         (Green) My name is Heather Green. I am the
 7
         Manager of Vegetation Management for Liberty
 8
         Utilities.
 9
    Q
         And, Ms. Green, did you also participate in the
10
         testimony that's been marked as "Exhibit 1"?
11
         (Green) Yes.
    Α
12
         Do you have any changes or corrections to bring
1.3
         to the Commission's attention?
14
    Α
         (Green) No.
15
         And do you adopt your written testimony as your
16
         sworn testimony today?
17
    Α
         (Green) Yes.
18
         Thank you. Mr. Faber, your turn. Please
19
         introduce yourself and your position with
20
         Liberty?
21
         (Faber) My name is Jeff Faber. I am the interim
    Α
2.2
         Senior Director for Electric Operations at
23
         Liberty.
24
         And, Mr. Faber, this is your first time sitting
```

```
1
         in that chair, is that correct?
 2
         (Faber) That is correct.
 3
         And, since you are not a familiar face, if you
 4
         could give the Commission a paragraph of what
 5
         brought you to Liberty and what you have in your
 6
         background?
 7
         (Faber) Lifelong New Hampshire resident, and I
    Α
 8
         worked 35 years at National Grid. Left them and
 9
         joined Liberty in September of 20 -- last year,
10
         '23, as a Director of Engineering, and then
11
         recently took over for Anthony Strabone, who's
12
         moved into the gas role. So, Anthony is running
1.3
         our gas business, and I've been asked to run the
14
         electric business.
15
                    At National Grid, I did mostly
16
         engineering, also operations, process
17
         improvement, contract management. So, a number
18
         of different roles.
19
         And, to our benefit, your history at Grid
20
         included work on the New Hampshire system, is
21
         that correct?
2.2
    Α
         (Faber) Yes. When I was Supervisor and Manager
23
         of Engineering in North Andover, I was also
24
         responsible for Granite State Electric, yes.
```

1 Thank you. Mr. Faber, did you participate in the 2 testimony that's been marked as "Exhibit 1"? 3 Α (Faber) I did. 4 And do you have any changes you'd like to bring 5 to the Commission's attention? 6 (Faber) I do not. 7 And do you adopt it as your sworn testimony this 8 afternoon? 9 (Faber) Yes. 10 Thank you. Given the very helpful discussion we 11 just had with the Commission, I'm going to go to 12 you, Mr. Garcia, and ask you to point to where in 1.3 the filing is the rate of zero that the Company 14 is proposing the Commission approve in this 15 docket? 16 (Garcia) Certainly. In addition to the back-end 17 of the testimony, Attachment 4, Page 3, it 18 reflects the proposed adjustment factor of zero. 19 Do you have a Bates reference for that? Q 20 (Garcia) Yes. Let me pull the hard copy here. 21 It's Bates 042. 2.2 Q And, Mr. Garcia, is it fair to say that the math that went into that zero is the typical 23 24 reconciliation math of you had a goal to collect

```
1
         X dollars, and over the past year you collected
 2
         Y dollars, and the math comes out that you don't
 3
         need to collect or return any more, thus the zero
 4
         rate?
 5
         (Garcia) Yes and no. There is a very, very, very
 6
         small balance of $698 resulting from our
 7
         calculation, which is too small -- would result
 8
         in a rate that's too small. It would go to six
 9
         decimal places, and we bill to five. So, as a
10
         result, it goes to zero, is the proposal.
11
         And the net result of that rate is the Company
12
         will continue to collect the $2.2 million that is
13
         in base rates today?
14
         (Garcia) Correct.
15
         And we'll reconcile to that next year?
16
         (Garcia) Correct, plus the $698.
17
         And, Ms. Green, turning to you. You've heard the
18
         request of the Department, I think it was the
19
         Department, maybe OCA, that the 50,000 carryover
20
         be designated for hazard tree removals. Did you
21
         hear that?
2.2
    Α
         (Green) Yes.
23
         Does the Company have any problem with that
24
         condition, if it's approved by the Commission?
```

```
1
          (Green) No. No problem.
 2
                    MR. SHEEHAN: That's all I have.
 3
         you.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. Let's
 5
         turn now to the Office of the Consumer Advocate.
 6
                    MR. CROUSE: Thank you.
 7
                    The OCA is going to table as instructed
 8
         any of our conversation about the investigation,
 9
         but we do have a couple small matters to clear
10
         up. By questions are for any of the witnesses.
11
         Feel free to shore up any from the others, I'll
12
         just direct it to Ms. Green, since she has a nice
1.3
         smile.
14
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. CROUSE:
15
16
         I notice that on Page 12 of your testimony, or
17
         Bates Page 014, you all state that "In 2023, a
18
         significant amount of their time", meaning the
19
         Asplundh contract workers, were spent "utilized
20
         in designing and implementing process needs for
21
         VMP support and SAP integration." Could you
2.2
         clarify what a "significant amount of time"
23
         means, relative to actual tree cutting?
24
          (Green) So, Asplundh provides permissions and
```

1.3

execution. So, they do some administrative components with the cycle work. And, in their contract, they do the permissions piece and the execution, and auditing of their own work. So, there is administration that is included with that.

In the past, we've had ECI do the work planning, which is where they build the orders, they build parcels, and they build the work, and then they hand it over to the provisioners. So, what we did this last year is we just shifted that back a little bit, so that the provisioner now wrote the work as well, and it ties the loop, and it addressed several components, for example, finger-pointing, you know, accountability.

But, then, it also freed up -- we had to reduce, with this budget, we were at three work planners, and some administrative help internally, and, with this budget, we reduced it to two. So, we were already challenged to get the work done regularly.

And, then, when SAP was here, it also took some of their energy to translate the work plans that are external to SAP, and get them to

```
1
         be able to be invoiced in SAP. So, there was a
 2
         little bridging that needed to happen with that
 3
         ECI work planners. So, I'd like to call them
 4
         "administrators". Can I call them
 5
         "administrators"?
 6
         I'm okay with that as a term.
 7
         (Green) The whole budget item is basically
 8
         administration, whether it's field
 9
         administration, quality control, auditing,
10
         customer, you know, permissions, and things like
11
         that.
12
                   Did that answer your question?
13
               That was a helpful response. Because, when
    Q
         Yes.
14
         the OCA is looking over Attachment 1, O&M
15
         expenses, you know, when we're looking through
16
         this, we see that there is an approximately
17
         $321,000 spent for work planners for vegetation,
18
         and then we see that, you know, there's the
19
         "AI-Dash" program, and then all of the
20
         right-of-way work was administrative. And, so,
21
         we see a lot of administrative line items.
22
                   But, then, when we look to work that's
23
         being done, we see like the Salem circuit wasn't
24
         done, because it was in response to storm
```

recovery.

1.3

2.2

So, we just wanted to better understand, and here's the question. What's being done with tree planting or canopy shelf management, because some of these line items are appearing as zero, so we're concerned in that regard?

(Green) So, as far as administration, I am the only staff in this program. So, I am the only person that can administrate, operate or operational, regulate strategies. So, I do need some help to make, you know, to pay the bills and things like that.

So, the right-of-way piece that you have mentioned, goes back to when Liberty bought from National Grid. And the RFP, or the whole program that we needed for the off-road piece was managed in the transmission and the BMPs, I don't know, it's an inch or two thick, it's pretty big. And it's to cover six different states' requirements, and we don't need six different states' requirements. It wasn't adopted by Liberty. So, I need some administrative assistance to help build the policy, build RFP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

for the program, to make sure that I'm compliant legally and compliant with best management practices. And we are synergistically working with, for example, Liberty Central. So, we're grabbing what Liberty Central has, grabbing what locally we have. But I have tried myself to do that piece, and for six years, and I haven't been able to. So, I have gone out contractually to do that, because I'm not able to balance that all out. So, that that was that piece. That

answer the question about --

- It does. This question is for any of the Q witnesses, I'm just looking at Ms. Green, since we were just speaking. But the Company indicates that they're now going to take advantage of mechanized equipment. Could we go into a little bit of detail on what that mechanized equipment is?
- (Green) I can do that. Do you want me to answer the question about the tree planting?
- 22 Q Certainly. You can do in either order. This is 23 just clarification.
- 24 (Green) Okay. So, mechanized equipment, as is

1.3

resource issues, and I think it lines up with much of COVID, and I think it's part of -- the State of New Hampshire has done research on workplace, on employment, or those things. So, we're in the same boat. Trying to get the bodies to fill the skill position to do the work. And we have not been able to do that as well as in the past, when the supply and the demand of the workforce was in our favor.

So, we have to -- to get things done, we have a Jarraff, which is a mechanized mower, which we are able to use when the land allows it, or we won't use it, for example, in sensitive areas, in front of a very high-profile home, or right in front of a home, but along the wooded areas we'll use it. And, if the terrain will allow, there's not a big ditch or there's not big, steep hills, we use that equipment there.

We also use a mower.

So, we're using those things to get things done where the workforce is not available, and we call it a "workforce multiplier".

Q Thank you. The concern that the OCA has, as you

```
1
          just heard, is about how much work is being done.
 2.
         And my impression, from reading last's year Plan,
 3
         and then this year's filing, is that the
 4
         mechanized equipment is now becoming available.
 5
         Do I have that correct, as opposed to always
 6
         having been available?
 7
    Α
          (Green) It was available as of, I think, last
 8
         year.
 9
         All right. Thank you. And the Company is
10
         intending to use the same amount or looking to
11
         take better advantage of this workplace
12
         multiplier in future filings?
1.3
          (Green) The contractor and the Company work
14
         together to find efficiencies to make the work --
15
         to get the work done.
16
               And, then, the last question was just
17
         about the tree planting versus the canopy shelf
18
         management?
19
          (Green) Tree planting versus the shelf
    Α
20
         management?
21
         Well, I meant, there's not a budget line for any
    Q
2.2
         sort of tree planting that was done, and then
23
         canopy shelf management. We're thinking that,
24
         when the Company is not planting any trees, that
```

```
1
         might be affecting how the distribution lines or
 2.
         anything goes through the canopy that might
 3
         otherwise, if you're planting like smaller trees
 4
         than what might naturally be occurring there?
 5
                    I can try to better clarify?
 6
          (Green) Please.
 7
         So, when I look at "Attachment 1 - O&M Expenses",
 8
         if I go to "Tree Planting", I see that a budget
         of "$20,000" was allocated. But zero dollars was
 9
10
         spent out of that. So, when I think of "tree
11
         planting", I think that maybe taller trees have
12
         been removed, and they're being replaced by
1.3
         smaller trees via the tree planting budget, and
14
         that might create a canopy shelf that allows less
15
         branches to interfere with your service area or
16
         your networks?
          (Green) Let me just rephrase it in a way that I
17
    Α
18
         can understand it.
19
         Certainly.
    Q
20
          (Green) So, our "Tree Planting" line item is
21
         either for mitigating issues we have with very
2.2
         difficult situations, or it's a much needed
23
         educational opportunity with our customers.
                                                        So,
24
         if we can offer a small tree to plant, more
```

2.

1.3

2.2

appropriately a small tree in front of their home, or a large tree to shade farther away from the lines, it's an educational campaign, which helps us more sustainably in the long run.

That program is about \$10,000 each, and we're going to try to do two of them. It's an Arbor Day program, all wrapped up. And it's about easily executed as you can be. However, I didn't have the resources myself to implement that administration. I didn't -- and the coordination to get those couple hundred trees out in an educational campaign.

forward sustainably, to work with customers to educate on more viable tree species in more viable locations. So, that is the intend of that, is to have a much more sustainable corridor, with much less maintenance. And joint use, synergistic use of that land space or that corridor, so that that customer, that landowner has the use that they need that doesn't conflict with safe and reliable power for them and their neighbors.

So, that is the intent of that line.

```
1
         But I haven't had my time available to implement
 2.
         that, as well -- and you also mentioned
 3
         "removals". The removals wouldn't come from that
 4
         budget, they would come from a different budget.
 5
         But we're not doing a lot of removals right now,
 6
         so there's not a lot of that removal/replacement.
 7
         That is a goal in the future, is to offer
 8
         removal/replacements where we can.
 9
                    Does that answer the question?
10
                    MR. CROUSE: That did. And thank you
11
         for bearing with me as I communicated the
12
         question poorly.
1.3
                    The OCA has no further questions for
14
         cross.
15
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. We'll
16
         turn now to the New Hampshire Department of
17
         Energy.
18
                   MS. BAILINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19
         I will also direct my questions to the panel, but
20
         I'm going to address Heather Green, because of
21
         smiles and all of that.
2.2
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
23
         Okay. I'm looking at Page 21, because that's --
24
         the document's Bates Page 021, if you could go
```

```
1
         there with me, I've got a couple of questions.
 2
                    Starting at the very beginning, on
 3
                  Still trying to get use to this
 4
         microphone, bear with me here. On Line 1, it's
 5
         entitled "Planned Miles". And, then, you know,
 6
         going across, in Columns (a) and (b), you have
 7
         the "Calendar Year 2023 Budgeted" miles and
         "Calendar Year 2023 Actual Expenses". In
 8
 9
         Column (a), just going back to that actually, it
10
         says that "165.09" miles were budgeted, correct?
11
         (Green) Correct.
    Α
12
         And was this based on a four-year or a five-year
13
         trim cycle?
14
         (Green) It was based on a budget.
15
         It was based on a budget?
16
         [Witness Green indicating in the affirmative].
17
         If you go to Line 13 of the same document -- I'm
18
         sorry, let's go to Line -- to Column (c) of
19
         Line 1, where it says that "18.75" miles --
20
         there's a variance of "18.75" miles between
21
         budgeted and actual expenses, correct?
22
    Α
         (Green) Yes.
23
         And, then, if you go to Line 13 of the same
24
         document, Exhibit 1, at Bates 021, there is a
```

```
1
         line item described as "Planned Cycle Trimming".
 2
         And, then, on the far right, in Column (c), it
 3
         states that there's a variance of "$239,777", and
 4
         I understand in that amount, is that correct?
 5
         (Green) That is correct.
 6
         Okay. Now, are those two line items, 1 and 13,
 7
         speaking about the same thing?
 8
         (Green) Generally, yes.
 9
         Generally. Yes, I was curious, because, on
10
         Page 22, I believe, the page after this, it
11
         points to 18.7 miles -- 18.75 miles on Line 13.
12
         I was a little curious whether that was the same
13
         number?
14
         (Green) Generally speaking, that represents the
15
         difference in the costs, correct.
16
         Okay.
17
         (Green) Not to the letter, because that -- there
18
         are other things that hit that line. So, some
19
         other training costs or other things that will
20
         hit that line. So, it's approximate. It
21
         correlates very well with the price of that
22
         particular circuit that was done.
23
    Q
         Okay. The 18.75 miles?
24
          (Green) Yes. I just don't have the exact number,
```

```
1
         but it's really close.
 2
         So, it would correlate then, I think that's
 3
         Line 2, "Variance Budget Less Actual 18.75", to
 4
         Page 22, Line 13, "18.75"?
 5
          (Green) Yes.
 6
         Yes?
 7
    Α
          (Green) Yes.
 8
               Thanks. Can you explain what events led
         Okay.
 9
         to the underspend of $239,707 [sic] in planned
10
         cycle trimming?
11
    Α
          (Green) So, I am tasked to hit the budget.
12
         Uh-huh.
1.3
          (Green) And not go over the budget. So, that is
14
         my goal. To accomplish the various goal -- the
15
         various components to get to that, to get to that
16
                 So, in order to do that, I need to be
         budget.
17
         conservative throughout the year. So, I hold
18
         back on things, or I don't pull another lever,
19
         unless I'm certain that I can pull through it.
20
                    So, this last year, it was -- there was
21
         a concern, when I need to pull that lever, that I
22
         might be over budget.
23
    Q
         Okay.
24
          (Green) And I did not want to -- that was not
```

```
1
         acceptable.
 2
         Okay.
 3
         (Green) So, I could not -- in order to keep us --
 4
         keep our crews on property consistently through
 5
         the year, so that I can respond to trouble, I can
 6
         respond to storm, I needed to make sure that I
 7
         had those resources through the year. And, when
 8
         I needed to pull that lever to pull in additional
 9
         crews to do those miles, I did not have the
10
         ability to see that I was not going to be over
11
         budget.
12
         Okay. Thank you. That helps. And that's why
13
         that work was deferred?
14
         (Green) Yes.
15
         Okay. I was looking at your testimony on
16
         Page 14, and that's consistent. Thank you.
17
                   Okay. Moving on to another question we
18
         had under -- looking at, again, Exhibit 1,
19
         Bates 021, Line 12, and that indicates "Work
20
         Planners for Veg. Plan", and you may have already
21
         addressed some of this in response to the OCA,
22
         and bear with me if I'm repetitious. But, in
23
         your testimony, at the bottom of Bates 014 and
24
         top of Bates 015, you explain that "In 2023, a
```

```
1
         significant amount of [contractor Asplundh's]
 2
         time was utilized in designating [sic] and
 3
         implementing process needs for the VMP support
 4
         and SAP integration, invoicing and reporting,
 5
         which resulted in the Work Planner activity
 6
         expenditures to run over $101,803" -- "run
 7
         101,803 over budgeted amounts." Correct?
 8
         (Green) Correct.
 9
    Q
         Thanks.
10
         (Green) Correct.
11
         Can you provide the Commission with more detail,
12
         particularly in terms of SAP integration for
13
         Vegetation Management Plan program support?
14
         (Green) Can you repeat that question?
15
         Yes. I think I'm quoting from your testimony.
16
         Can you provide the Commission with more detail,
17
         particularly in terms of SAP integration for
18
         Vegetation Management Plan program support?
19
         (Green) As far as what I provided for SAP or what
    Α
20
         the SAP people provided?
21
         I'm not sure. I think it's just, you know, just
22
         reading, I guess I was confused when I was
23
         reading the testimony. And, you know, whatever
24
         you can do to clarify, where you say that the --
```

```
1
          (Green) Clarify the last line on Page 12?
                    MS. BAILINSON: Okay. I'm sorry.
 2
 3
         you give me a moment please?
 4
                    [Atty. Bailinson and Mr. Dudley
 5
                    conferring.]
 6
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
 7
         I think I'm able to clarify my question, if I
 8
         might. Better put, how was SAP integration
 9
         related to work planner activity costs?
10
         (Green) Cost to cost? I think, how much was
11
         costs regarding work planners versus how much
         cost was for SAP?
12
13
         Well, there was this overage of $101,000, I think
    Q
14
         that's where I'm focusing on.
15
    Α
         (Green) Yes.
16
         Yes, in your testimony, and I was going to get to
17
         this, but we talk about "invoicing and
18
         reporting", which resulted in the Work Planner
19
         activity expenditures to run over 101,803. So
20
         it's that "invoicing and reporting" piece.
21
                    Did you spend or did the Company spend
22
         money on invoicing and reporting, due to SAP
23
         integration?
24
          (Green) Yes. Just you have to take the old
```

```
1
         system and the new system, right? And you need
 2
         to meet those up together.
 3
    Q
         Yes.
 4
         (Green) So, that's what we were doing here --
 5
         Okay.
 6
         (Green) -- is meeting them up together.
 7
         Yes. I think you were describing about
 8
         "bridging", --
 9
    Α
         (Green) Yes.
10
         Is that what you were talking about earlier?
11
         (Green) Yes.
12
         Bridging and support?
1.3
         [Witness Green indicating in the affirmative].
14
         Okay, and I do have a follow-up on this,
15
         if I might?
16
                    Did you experience any problems in
17
         translating work plan and invoices into the SAP
18
         system?
19
         (Green) We've been building the bridges to make
    Α
20
         that communication possible.
21
         One more follow-up please? Was it accomplished?
2.2
    Α
         (Green) Yes. We do -- our system does -- we do
23
         have a process in place to take the work planning
24
         work to SAP and invoice.
```

```
1
         And it's working correctly?
 2
         (Green) Correct.
 3
         Okay. Thank you. Whoops, pardon me. Will the
 4
         invoices and records be available to DOT -- DOE,
 5
         not "DOT", DOE auditors?
 6
    Α
         (Green) I don't see why they wouldn't be.
 7
         They're in SAP.
 8
         Okay. Thank you. All right. Let's move over to
    Q
 9
         Hazard Tree Removal, back to Exhibit 1, Page 21,
10
         Line 14. In the "Variance" column, there is a
11
         underspend of "$41,837". In your testimony, on
12
         Bates 015, you explain that this is "due to the
13
         need to minimize the removal program to
14
         reallocate funds to other contracted work on the
15
         system." Correct?
16
         (Green) Yes.
17
    Q
         Okay. The Company budgeted 50,000 for Hazard
18
         Tree Removal, correct, according to the exhibit?
19
         (Green) Yes.
    Α
20
         First things first, what is "Hazard Tree
21
         Removal"?
22
    Α
         (Green) First of all, we have renamed it with the
23
         new rate case. It is "Tree Risk Management",
24
         "Tree Risk Removal", versus "hazard". But I will
```

```
1
         continue to define it here as "hazard".
 2
         Okay.
 3
         (Green) So, basically, trees that either are a
 4
         high risk, with grow-in or fall-in, are trees
 5
         that should be removed, because they can't be
 6
         pruned or managed other ways. And, either with
 7
         the aesthetic or the health of the tree, we
         can't -- there's not enough to leave it. So, it
 8
 9
         needs to be removed, either to get that corridor
10
         or clearance of growth, or to clear the corridor
11
         for clearance of high potential of fall-in.
12
         Okay. Thank you for the explanation. And I
13
         assume there is an order of priority for Hazard
14
         Tree Removal projects?
15
         (Green) There is.
    Α
16
         Thank you. Can you tell me broadly how the
17
         Company prioritizes?
18
         (Green) I can broadly -- I'll tell you how this
    Α
19
         line, this line is, basically, for imminent work.
20
         Imminence?
21
         (Green) Imminent. So, basically, this is the
    Α
22
         budget allocation for those trees that cannot be
23
         deferred. They need to be -- they're actively
24
         failing, they're likely to fail, and have a high
```

consequence -- they also have a high consequence of failure, before the next budget year.

So, basically, it's an emergency fund of tree removal, and also limb removals. When we do pruning in a tree, and the limb is larger, it's not pruning anymore, it's, basically, a tree removal in the sky. So, I have to pay for that in the sky. So, some of my pruning actually hits my removal budget.

Q Okay.

- A (Green) If I don't remove that big, dead,
 nine-inch limb, then the pruning is really for
 not. So, I need to have some money to take care
 of that pruning.
- O Uh-huh.
- A (Green) And, then, additionally the field conditions change regularly. And they're not known at all times, that I don't know that I need to remove X number of trees this year imminently as I go through the system. So, I kind of hold it back, to make sure that I don't go over budget, and I remove the most high-priority ones.

And, so, that's the way I've been using the removal budget in the past couple years.

```
1
         It's just, let me do the most important ones, and
 2
         stay under budget. And, then, also, the whole
 3
         budget is tentative. It's not, oh, really, I
 4
         can't dial line in tentatively, so I need some
 5
         flexibility with each of them, to be able to move
 6
         things around.
 7
         Okay. All right. So, is that kind of in line
    Q
 8
         with the explanation that 50,000 was budgeted
 9
         for, but not spent? Or, I mean, about, what was
10
         it, 4,000 or so was spent?
11
         (Green) Yes.
    Α
12
         Okay. Sort of saving, because didn't want to go
13
         over budget?
14
         [Witness Green indicating in the affirmative].
15
         Okay. I'm just -- a curious question, if you
16
         were going to put 50,000 toward what you call
17
         "Tree Risk Removal" more appropriately now, how
18
         much can you accomplish with that? Is it in
19
         terms of trees or is it in terms -- could it be
20
         just pruning of one tree or --
21
         (Green) Are you asking what the impact of 50,000?
    Α
22
         Or, how many trees?
23
    Q
         How many trees, yes.
24
          (Green) It depends.
```

1 I figured that was going to be the answer, but 2 I --3 (Green) When we look at trees, the trees are --4 if I'm working cycle, on cycle, the trees have a 5 price per size. So, a five- to nine-inch tree 6 has a price, and nine- to twelve-inch, that's 7 measured at four and a half feet from the ground. 8 So, it's the diameter of the trunk, and each of 9 them has a price to do. Some of them are grow-in 10 risks, some of them are fall-in risks. Some of 11 them are along --12 [Court reporter interruption.] 1.3 CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS: 14 -- three-phase, sorry. Some of them are along 15 the three-phase, or serve the most customers, and 16 have the highest consequence of failure. And 17 some of them are along smaller amounts of 18 customers and have a lower consequence of 19 failure. Some of them are near a transformer and 20 a pole, which that's a pretty costly thing as 21 well. 22 So, you balance all of those as best 23 you can with the knowledge that you have. 24 BY MS. BAILINSON:

```
1
         Okay. Thank you.
 2.
         (Green) Can I add one thing?
 3
    0
         Yes.
 4
         (Green) So, additional tree removals do occur
 5
         under another line item. "Make Safe Removals",
 6
         Line Item 6, and those tree removals do not hit
 7
         our threshold for our removal priority. However,
 8
         the customer needs those down, and they need
         assistance to make it safe. So, we assist them
 9
10
         in getting those down. So, there are more tree
11
         removals that happen. But they're falling under
12
         that line item, because we're assisting the
1.3
         customers so that they can safely remove their
14
         trees.
15
                    And that budget, I will not -- I will
16
         do what it takes to make sure that the customers
17
         have a safe experience and can manage their
18
         property.
19
                    So, we did remove more trees, but it
20
         was to assist the customers on their priority.
21
         Thank you for that addition. Appreciate it. Can
    Q
2.2
         you explain what the Company has done in
23
         vegetation management in the Charlestown area,
24
         Charlestown, I forget the -- yes, the Bellows
```

```
1
         Falls area, to resolve what's been discussed in
 2
         other dockets?
 3
         (Green) We just completed the 12L1 and the 12L2,
 4
         as far as cycle work. It's one of the -- it's
 5
         the two most recent completed work outside of
 6
         Salem. So, all of the miles were trimmed, and
 7
         the brush was flat-cut, and mowing happened
 8
         there, and the Jarraff work happened there. It
 9
         was very successful.
10
                   MS. BAILINSON: Thanks. Thank you.
11
         One moment please.
12
                    [Atty. Bailinson and Mr. Dudley
1.3
                    conferring.]
14
                    MS. BAILINSON: Just a point of
15
         clarification, if I might.
16
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
17
         In your answer just now, you talked about the
18
         "trimming at 12L1 and 12L2". Did you mean to say
19
         or do you say that all trimming on those circuits
20
         were completed?
21
         (Green) Yes.
    Α
22
                   MS. BAILINSON: Thank you. I have a
23
         few more questions.
24
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
```

```
1
         And we discussed, and you answered on direct,
 2
         about the Department's recommendation that the
 3
         carryover amount of 50,240 be targeted for Hazard
         Tree Removal. And you responded you do support
 4
 5
         that proposal?
 6
         (Green) Yes.
 7
    Q
         Okay. Thank you.
 8
                    MS. BAILINSON: Okay. Thank you.
                                                       Just
 9
         a minute.
10
                    [Atty. Bailinson and Mr. Dudley
11
                    conferring.]
12
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
1.3
         I want to move on to Bates Page 041, I have a few
14
         questions there. There's a chart, which I
15
         printed off, and the print is teeny. Didn't want
16
         to rely on technology my first go-around here.
17
                    Okay. So, looking at Exhibit 1,
18
         Page 41, if you are there with me?
19
         [Witness Faber indicating in the affirmative].
    Α
20
         The chart identifies an over-collection every
21
         month, beginning with "16,586", and ending with
22
         "698". Is that correct?
23
    Α
         (Garcia) Yes. We began the year with an
24
         over-recovery balance of "16,586", and with the
```

```
1
         0.0002 [0.00002?] credit in place, we whittled
 2
         that down to "698".
         Okay. I guess I just need -- you might be a few
 3
    Q
 4
         steps ahead of me here. So, when I look at the
 5
         chart, everything has got a parens around it, you
 6
         know, from Line 2 through 14, ending with the
 7
         "698".
 8
         (Garcia) What column? I'm sorry, what column are
 9
         you working --
10
         I'm just saying that, on that exhibit, Page 41,
11
         everything, from Line 2 to Line 14, has a parens
12
         around it, meaning that that is an
13
         over-collection?
14
         (Garcia) That's correct.
15
         Thanks. But, then, going down to, a
16
         clarification is what I'm seeking here, going
17
         down to Line 21, that -- the "698" is no longer
18
         an over-collection, it's an under-collection.
19
         And I was curious how that's connected? How it
20
         switches, I quess?
21
         (Garcia) Yes. The math is shown on the bottom
    Α
22
         here, let me go over here, to the spreadsheet, if
23
         you bear with me for one minute.
24
         Oh, sure. Take your time.
```

```
1
         (Garcia) To make sure.
 2
                    [Short pause.]
 3
    CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:
 4
         (Garcia) Well, I mean, the math on Line 17
 5
         through 21, I can walk you through. It's the
 6
         "16,637", shown on Line 17, less the "16,586",
 7
         which produces an over-recovered "51", you have
         cumulative interest, so that's "698", results in
 8
         "698". Wait a minute. One second, please.
 9
10
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
11
         Sure. Certainly.
12
                    [Short pause.]
1.3
                    WITNESS GARCIA: Okay. I'm sorry.
14
         What was the question again?
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
15
16
         So, when you look at the chart, the chart
17
         identifies, the chart on Page 41, --
18
         (Garcia) Uh-huh.
         -- identifies an over-collection every month, you
19
20
         know, beginning with that "$16,586" amount way up
21
         at the top, "Beginning Balance with Interest",
2.2
         Column (a), and then it ends, you know, with
23
         "$698", on Line 15 or so, Column (c). So,
24
         that's -- that represents an over-collection.
```

```
But, then, on Line 21, that "$698" is
 1
 2
         now an under-collection. And that was confusing.
 3
    Α
         (Garcia) Yes. As I'm looking at this math now, I
 4
         think the model we've been using might have a
 5
         sign issue with subtracting negatives, which is
 6
         something we've been trying to correct, as I've
 7
         gone through with -- in my new position here.
 8
                   Looking at this now, given that we had
 9
         a $16,586 over-collection, and we credited
10
         $16,637, I believe the over -- under-collection
11
         should be an over-collection, with interest, of
12
         801. I'm doing this math very quickly, trying to
1.3
         address the sign issue, so I think there is a
14
         sign issue here, as I look at this now.
15
                    [Atty. Bailinson, Atty. Dexter, and Mr.
16
                   Dudley conferring.]
17
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
18
         Yes. If you could give us an accurate number,
19
         that would be much appreciated.
20
         (Garcia) Yes. It still would result in a zero
21
         charge, because you're talking about hundreds of
2.2
         dollars. You couldn't round 698 when it was
23
         positive, you're not going to be able to round up
24
         at 801 as a negative credit, because it still
```

```
1
         doesn't show up to the fifth decimal point, it
 2
         would only show up to the sixth.
 3
                    But we can correct that entry, in terms
 4
         of what we're tracking.
 5
                    MS. BAILINSON: Thanks. I'm going to
 6
         have a follow-up, if you give me a minute please?
 7
                    WITNESS GARCIA: Okay.
 8
                    [Atty. Bailinson and Mr. Dudley
 9
                    conferring. 1
10
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
11
         So, to clarify, the number "698", on Line 20, is
12
         inaccurate?
1.3
         (Garcia) I believe that's correct, now that I
14
         look at this. It probably should be an
15
         under-recovery of 801, as opposed to an
16
         over-recovery of 698, because of, I think, the
17
         sign issue on this attachment.
18
         All right. And, so, that number, whatever it
    Q
19
         ends up being, so 801 under-recovery, then would
20
         that result, I guess, in a charge or a credit to
21
         ratepayers, which is -- that's calculated on the
22
         next page, Bates 042?
23
    Α
          (Garcia) No, it wouldn't, because you still --
24
         again, it still suffers from the same issue, we
```

```
1
         only bill to five decimal points. And an $800
 2.
         credit still would not crack that, I believe.
 3
                    I mean, I could run the math, if you
 4
         want to move on to some other questioning and
 5
         come back to it, but I don't believe it's going
 6
         to --
 7
    Q
         Yes. Yes, we'll definitely move on to other
 8
         questions. And, if you can run the math, and
 9
         maybe, you know, submit something supplemental?
10
         (Garcia) Uh-huh.
11
         That would be great. Thanks.
12
         (Garcia) Thank you.
1.3
                   MS. BAILINSON: Just a few more
14
         questions, Mr. Chair, Commissioners.
15
                    This concerns ClearWay litigation, and
16
         the Department has a few questions on this
17
         matter. And, if the panel doesn't have answers,
18
         perhaps counsel could provide an update in his
19
         closing?
20
                    MR. SHEEHAN: I'd be happy to provide
21
         an update.
2.2
                    MS. BAILINSON: Okay. Thank you.
23
         had some questions, let me lay them out. And I'm
24
         sure your update will cover it, but just so that
```

1 I've stated them please. 2. I guess it does deal with updating on 3 whether litigation is at this stage, whether any 4 funds have been recovered from ClearWay? And, if 5 "yes", please indicate if those funds have been 6 accounted for by Liberty, for example? Have 7 these recoveries been included in the actual 8 amount reports in this docket to offset costs 9 that are being reviewed in this docket? 10 MR. SHEEHAN: I can do that right now, 11 if that would make things tidier? 12 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Please proceed. 1.3 MR. SHEEHAN: We have recovered no 1 4 funds from ClearWay. So, they're not reflected 15 here. And I can give more explanation later. 16 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. 17 MS. BAILINSON: We have no further 18 questions at this time, Mr. Chairman. 19 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you. 20 We'll turn now to Commissioner questions, 2.1 beginning with Commissioner Simpson. 2.2 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you. Thank you 23 all for being here. 24 BY CMSR. SIMPSON:

```
1
         So, I'm looking at Bates Page 021, Attachment 1,
 2
         "O&M Expenses". I'll give you all a minute to
 3
         turn to that page.
 4
                    So, just generally, when I look at
 5
         this, it looks like there are two areas where the
 6
         Company had a little bit more work that you had
 7
         to do, and then several areas where you didn't do
 8
         what you had budgeted or forecasted.
 9
                    So, I look at the "Unplanned Work", it
10
         looks like about $70,000 variance over the
11
         approximately $150,000 that you had budgeted for
12
         unplanned work. Is that correct? Am I looking
13
         at those numbers correctly?
14
         (Green) Correct. On the unplanned work, we spent
15
         more than we had tentatively expected.
16
         And can you just explain what arose that led to
17
         that increase in unplanned work?
18
         (Green) This budget line item is definitely
    Α
19
         variable. It is not something you can
20
         anticipate, it happens, it's unplanned.
21
         customers call it in, a storm may loosen up a
22
         tree that doesn't come up in a storm, and then
23
         later we need to take care of it in a month or
24
              So, it's just that work that people call in
         SO.
```

1 and needs to be taken care of immediately. 2 Some of this work is -- can be related 3 to the deferred work, because there is -- we're 4 not seeing stuff as often as we would otherwise 5 see it, or preventatively maintaining it. 6 So, those line items will continue to 7 move up. But it's just a placeholder, and we do 8 our best to put a placeholder, but it could be a lot less or a lot more, depending on how much we 9 10 can continue to defer. Like someone may call in, 11 we're like "Nope, we'll wait till the cyle, and 12 we'll address it then", if possible or if 1.3 appropriate. But the work you see here, the 14 expenses, was the work that could not wait till 15 cycle. 16 And are most of those expenses in the "unplanned 17 work" categories driven by contractors of tree 18 crews that have to go out and remedy 19 unanticipated problems? 20 (Green) Yes. This would be all -- my entire team 21 is contractual. 2.2 Q Uh-huh. 23 (Green) So, whether I send someone out to look at 24 it, or I send someone out to execute and perform

```
1
         the work, they're all contractual.
 2
                   So, none of this is Line Department, if
 3
         that's your question?
 4
         I understand that. Thank you, though. Okay.
 5
         So, then, for the areas that the Company was not
 6
         able to accomplish that you had budgeted for, so
 7
         I'm looking at your "Planned Cycle Trimming",
         $240,000 variance; "Hazard Tree Removal", almost
 8
         80 percent of the work that you had forecasted
 9
10
         wasn't either needed or wasn't accomplished.
11
         "Right of Way" work, with exception of the
12
         "Sub-Transmission Right of Way clearing", and
1.3
         then other expenses, like "Police Detail", "Tree
14
         Planting", the "Permit Fees", "Training", can you
15
         address why those areas led to significantly
16
         lower amounts of spending than budgeted?
17
    Α
         (Green) My goal, in the beginning of the year, is
18
         to hit the budget as best as I can, and not go
         over budget. That is my task.
19
20
         Uh-huh.
21
         (Green) And to prioritize work for safe and
22
         reliability for our customers. As I go through
23
         the year, I adjust each of these items to meet
24
         that goal. And I need to pull levers at certain
```

2.

1.3

2.2

time of the year to make things happen. And, if I'm not certain -- if I'm not comfortable that everything is going to be okay, and it's not an imminent issue, I'm going to put that on hold for a moment. And some of these things couldn't be released.

So, for example, I have, for the tree planting, I need to start in January. If I don't think I'm going to have the resources to pull that trigger, then I'm not going to -- I need to do it in January, and I need to do it again in May, to hit the fall. But there's a certain -- I didn't have the assurance that everything would be okay with those couple of levers. Or, like with the Right of Way/Herbicide RFP, my contractor had to have the resources, and, at the time he had the resources, I couldn't pull that lever. And later, when I could, he didn't have the resources.

So, there are external -- there are external forces that, on almost everything here, that I don't have control over.

You mentioned "traffic control", I think it's pretty on-task. Traffic control is

pretty close. I wouldn't call that a significant underspend. And all of these are contractual work, all of these are field-dependent, and all of these budgets are estimates. And I don't have the ability to hit all of them at exactly their dollar. But, based on the field condition needs, how much traffic control you need, based on which streets that I have, and what that town calls for, as an example.

So, it's pulling those levers to work the priority work, so that I get the best work done, with the resources I have, whether it's staff or funding.

- Q Okay. Thank you. I understand that. I think the police detail, for instance, that's one that actually quite surprised me, that you're under budget on that.
- A (Green) Well, we didn't do all the miles.
- Q And that absolutely makes sense to me, as in line with that, the permit fees, that your permit fees are significantly lower.

So, where I'm going is, it looks like there were priorities that arose that are not necessarily reflected in the budgeted line items

```
1
         and the core tree work. And we've all -- we've
 2
         heard about it, you've had some questions about
 3
         SAP.
 4
                    So, I'm curious, how much time did you
 5
         spend in 2023 with the work planner effort, as
 6
         aligned with the SAP conversion?
 7
    Α
          (Green) How much time did I spend with SAP.
 8
         Yes.
 9
          (Green) I can't quantify it, but a significant
10
         amount.
11
         More than you had anticipated in 2022?
12
         (Green) Yes.
1.3
         And explain that to us. Help us understand, what
14
         were the challenges that you encountered?
15
         Because it looks like you really had to dedicate
16
         a lot of your time to that integration, as
17
         opposed to what you've done in the past, with
18
         respect to coordinating tree work. And I don't
19
         say that as a criticism. I'm trying to
20
         understand and recognize what you've done.
21
          (Green) The SAP program doesn't have, for
    Α
22
         contracted work, it isn't quite built out for
23
         contracted work, and my team is contracted.
24
         Uh-huh.
```

(Green) So, I had to build those pieces to make the contracted work in the -- those pieces to be able to talk to SAP. I can't say, like, how good, while we were talking, when you asked for the \$50,000 to go to tree removals, that's much easier in SAP than it's ever been. I can code that and track that. So, in my head, I'm like "Yes, no problem", because SAP will make that better. And it will make it better because of all of the things we built into the crew software, and we had to put in place things for the crews to just check a box, so that it would move along through the system so that I can pay it, and it can go over and correlate with the proper job, whether its construction or storm or maintenance. So, we had to build those pieces.

So, we had to build those pieces. So, we had to build the bridges to make my work talk to SAP. I can't give you a quantity. It's not known. I didn't track it.

Q Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

A (Green) But it was significant. I can't say how it compares to anyone else. But I do know one of the challenges was my work is contractual. So,

```
my challenges were different than other people,
 1
 2
         because of that bridge that didn't exist.
 3
    Q
         And, when you say "bridge", how I'm interpreting
 4
         that is you have another tool or a prior tool
 5
         that you've used as a work management piece of
 6
         software, that you're now tethering to SAP. Am I
 7
         understanding that correctly?
 8
         (Green) That is correct.
 9
         And is SAP a replacement for that?
10
         [Witness Green indicating in the negative].
11
         Or, do you still maintain and use the tool that
12
         you've always used for your contract --
1.3
         (Green) Yes.
14
         -- arborist work, it's now just going into SAP?
15
         (Green) Yes. Now, it can be seen visibly by the
16
         Company. It can be seen visibly across other
17
         veg. management programs. And they can compare
18
         and leverage and have --
19
         Management, when you said "they", do you mean
    Q
20
         management?
21
         (Green) Procurements, for example.
2.2
         Procurements.
23
         (Green) You can actually standardize the
24
         contracts. You can standardize the pricing. You
```

can report on things that they couldn't do
before. So, we're getting a lot of really good
synergies with that, with SAP. So, I am really
excited of the visibility that I get with
construction jobs. There was just silos of
information. So, that bridging is happening. I
just had to build a little bit of my own, because
the Company -- SAP was working on fixing for
everybody else as well.

Q Uh-huh.

A (Green) So, I did my best to do my piece off to the side. They worked on the rest of things that I'm sure you've talked about.

So, the work -- the work software that SAP has is not contractor software. That's something they would put in contractors' hands, and my team is fully contractual.

And I just needed to put in place a couple of the attributes or pick lists or formulas, so that the crew just says "Hey, start time/end time", done, and then everything flows through such that I can pay the bill. And anyone who needs to can see what those costs were.

Before, that wasn't visible. So,

```
1
         that's the good thing about SAP, is we're getting
 2
         some really good visibility to that. But I had
 3
         to build a couple of those bridges.
 4
               And I can imagine that that is -- or, I
 5
         would guess that that type of work is not
 6
         historically what you've done?
 7
    Α
         (Green) No.
 8
         So, I can imagine that there was some challenges
 9
         that you faced with that. And I just want to
10
         underscore how I recognize the importance of what
11
         you do, and the importance of tree trimming.
12
         really is an incredible investment in reliability
1.3
         and resiliency. And I guess the message I want
14
         to send is, I don't want that to be lost.
15
         to keep focus on ensuring that the work that you
16
         intend to do on a cycle is done.
17
         [Witness Green indicating in the affirmative].
18
         Knowing that problems arise from time to time,
19
         and storms come up, and car accidents happen, and
20
         all these unknowns arise, but that tree trimming
21
         is a resource that is very important to
22
         customers.
         [Witness Green indicating in the affirmative].
23
    Α
24
         So, when I look at that Work Planner line item,
```

```
1
         it's about $100,000 over, did you have SAP
 2
         forecasted in the 220 when you made the 2023
 3
         budget?
 4
         (Green) No. Actually, even the 220 wasn't
 5
         enough, and I was trying to figure out how I was
 6
         going to make it work. So, I knew I wasn't going
 7
         to hit my 220. It was basically two people.
 8
         And, whenever I tried to add a third, like a
 9
         third of a person, --
10
         A contractor?
11
         (Green) Yes, a contractor.
12
         To help you with SAP?
13
         (Green) No, just to run, whether SAP was here or
14
         not, --
15
         Okay.
    Q
16
         -- whenever I need to go "Hey, I need another
17
         body to do this project for four months", --
18
         Uh-huh.
    Q
19
         (Green) -- or I have a budget for X, and it
    Α
20
         doesn't allow for me to hire a new person for the
21
         year, it makes it really hard, it takes me two
22
         months to get them onboard and train them, and
23
         things like that. So, I just did it by people.
24
         Basically, I had three people, I needed to cut my
```

```
1
         budget, someone was leaving, I just didn't
 2
         replace them. I left that vacancy. So, I was
 3
         trying to do what I could do with those two
 4
         people. We also lost at least half a person
 5
         previously, before SAP, I used to get
 6
         administrative assistance as possible, you know,
 7
         some help here, some help there, some help there,
 8
         and with SAP, that help was no longer viable.
 9
         Because they were working on something else?
10
         (Green) They were working on SAP and other
11
         things. So it was already hard for them, and
12
         that's why I had to get a different person all
13
         the time. But -- so, I lost that resource as
14
         well.
15
         Uh-huh.
    0
16
         (Green) And, so, moving over, I was just trying
17
         to do my best with the budget I had and the
18
         resources I had.
19
         And, for contractors in that category, are they
    Q
20
         from an Asplundh, or is this a different type of
21
         staffing company?
22
    Α
         (Green) Different staffing.
23
         Can you explain who --
          (Green) I have --
24
```

```
1
         -- who you use or give me an example?
 2
         (Green) ECI.
 3
         Okay.
 4
         (Green) It's "Environmental Consultants, Inc.".
 5
         They have provided the service for the past six
 6
         years.
                 There's a new contractor starting in
 7
         July. So, we will be transitioning over to them.
 8
         We also use balanced staffing for the
 9
         administration piece, that's that like third
10
         person, you know, like partial of a person. And
11
         she was actually hired for SAP originally, but I
12
         couldn't -- I was supposed to get an intern, but
1.3
         I couldn't, no one would respond to my request.
14
         And we had her, so we took her on, and she's just
15
         really made -- she filled in that missing gap,
16
         and she helped build the bridges, and just made
17
         it work.
18
                    So, there's a lot of -- there's a lot
19
         of pieces to be able to give all the reports out
20
         there. Even like the invoicing, I do all -- I
21
         record all my invoices in another file, versus,
22
         so I can compare them to Liberty's file, so it
23
         takes time, it takes administrative time to do
24
               But that gives me the confidence to come
         that.
```

```
1
         here and tell you, with confidence, that I feel
 2
         this is the spend and it was prudent to do so.
 3
         So, we have administrative costs to do those
 4
         things.
 5
         Sure.
 6
         (Green) And I talk too much.
 7
         No, thank you. That's very helpful, Ms. Green.
 8
         I appreciate that.
 9
                    It's an interesting question about the
10
         "ten percent over budget" figure that's available
11
         in the Settlement. Can you just explain for us
12
         what factors lead the Company to seek that or not
13
         seek that in any one instance?
14
         (Green) We try to balance the funding allowed,
15
         and the different tasks to accomplish. So, the
16
         four-year cycle, the 307.10, and we put that
17
         together and we do the best we can. And we
18
         usually do target that ten percent over, and that
19
         was our goal. But, with the visibility that we
20
         had in -- at the time that I couldn't pull my
21
         levers, I had to make sure that I had a buffer
22
         through the end of the year, to make sure that I
23
         prioritized the work, I pulled back, to make sure
24
         that I got more miles, as many miles done as I
```

1 could, as many processes figured out as I could, 2. as many invoices paid. Those were the highest 3 and hottest fires that were going on. And I 4 didn't have the visibility to see that last 5 couple of hundred thousand. 6 Uh-huh. 7 (Green) So, we still got to the budget, the 2.2, 8 just that ten percent over -- that ten percent 9 difference that we usually target, because that 10 wasn't visible, we had to have a buffer there. 11 And that just happened to be the buffer, the same 12 number. 1.3 Thank you. And I'm not criticizing you for not Q 14 going over budget. I just want to have that on 15 the record. 16 When you talk about, you know, not 17 having the resource to pull all the levers that 18 you could in any one time, and looking at hitting 19 a mileage number to make sure that you can stay 20 as close to schedule, in terms of your cycle for 21 trimming as you can, are Right of Way miles 2.2 included in that mileage or is that separate? 23 [Witness Green indicating in the negative]. Α

Okay. So, when, in your testimony, you note that

24

```
1
         you "underspent in the Right of Way", was that
 2
         just, again, a function of resources, --
 3
    Α
         [Witness Green indicating in the affirmative].
 4
         -- lack of resources to contract, manage the
 5
         people to go out and do that work, and keep track
 6
         of it?
 7
    Α
         (Green) It wasn't physical work. This was all
 8
         administrative work.
 9
         Yes, I understand that. But that was your
10
         limiting factor, just your own burden, your work
11
         time burden?
12
         (Green) My burden, and, if I was going to go over
13
         budget, it wasn't going to be because I pulled
14
         that lever.
15
    0
         Yes.
16
         (Green) But I wanted to make sure I got my miles
17
         done. At the time that I needed to pull that
18
         lever, I wasn't certain where I was. So, I had
19
         to make sure that I had the funding there for the
20
         miles. The funding there to get the work
21
         written, so the crews can do the miles.
22
                    Later, when I had funding, when I knew
         that I was okay, maybe, to move it forward, he
23
24
         didn't have the ability to give me the resources.
```

```
1
                   Does that answer the question?
 2
               Thank you. And you're looking for a
 3
         carryover to catch up. How do feel about where
 4
         you are right now? Do you think that the cycle
 5
         that you agreed to in the last rate case was too
 6
         aggressive? Do you feel like you're in a good
 7
         place, with respect to the system being trimmed,
         whether it's distribution miles or Right of Way?
 8
         How do you -- what's your sense right now? So,
 9
10
         we can understand, looking forward, what we
11
         should be thinking about, in working with the
12
         Company to provide a next phase of this type of
13
         program?
14
         (Green) I feel that the resources for a five-year
15
         cycle would be best for our system. And the
16
         ability to -- the resources and the funding to do
17
         a five-year cycle and removals is prudent,
18
         effective, and efficient, for all parties.
19
         Okay. And you don't feel that that would
20
         increase risk so much that the vegetation growth
21
         would be excessive and present reliability risk
22
         that are --
23
         (Green) No.
         -- known and measurable?
24
```

```
1
          (Green) Prior to this four-year cycle that was
 2.
         set up in 2016, National Grid was on a five-year
 3
         cycle previously, and it seemed to suit them
               And I agree, a five-year cycle seems to be
 4
 5
         fine. I just need to remove some trees, so I can
 6
         get that quota back.
 7
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay. Very good.
 8
         Thank you, Ms. Green.
 9
                   Mr. Faber and Mr. Garcia, you're going
10
         to get off easy today, because Ms. Green has
11
         answered all my questions.
12
                    That's all. Thank you.
1.3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. Let's
14
         pause here and take a ten-minute break, and we'll
         resume at ten of. Off the record.
15
16
                    (Recess taken at 2:40 p.m., and the
17
                    hearing reconvened at 2:54 p.m.)
18
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. I just want
19
         to pick up on some of Commissioner Simpson's
20
         questions to start.
21
    BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
2.2
         So, I think, Ms. Green, you had mentioned
23
         "visibility issues", and I'm not sure I caught
24
         what the visibility issues were. Can you
```

1 describe a little bit more about where you did 2 and didn't have visibility? 3 Α (Green) Well, integrating the new system, we --4 different players were involved with -- the 5 visibility of the VMP spend. I have my database 6 that I keep daily. But, then, there's a lot of 7 things that happen in finance of deferrals and 8 accruals, all those kind of -- I know what my 9 spend is, but I don't know how it's hitting the 10 books. So, it's just, because of that, all the 11 different players that are involved, and all the 12 mapping, and those reports didn't exist in SAP, 1.3 so they're building them. So, that piece just 14 wasn't visible to me at that time. 15 And did you -- in a lot of companies, there's a 0 16 monthly or quarterly close, and do you -- so, you 17 get kind of refreshed on some sort of periodic 18 basis. Did you have visibility, as you went 19 through the year, on a monthly or quarterly 20 basis, where things were trued up for you? Or, 21 was that also not available because of the SAP 22 implementation? 23 Α (Green) I would say it was not available, because 24 the actual report needed didn't exist in SAP.

```
1
         And different people, who had different angles of
 2
         it, were -- had different numbers.
 3
    Q
         Yes.
 4
         (Green) So, they were trying to -- just we were
 5
         trying to finalize what that -- everyone had an
 6
         interpretation of what the veg. spend was, but
 7
         they weren't taking into account maybe an
 8
         accrual, or they weren't taking into account a
 9
         deferral. And, so, we had to work with several
10
         people to find that.
11
         Okay. So, at what point did you know that your
    Q
         actual expenses were 2,149,760? Is that
12
1.3
         something you had visibility into when the
14
         Company closed the books for the year, and maybe
15
         in February of this year you saw that? Or, when
16
         did you know that was your --
17
         (Green) February.
18
         -- where you landed? February, okay. So, and
19
         that was because of the annual close process, and
20
         the booking of all the journal entries, and
21
         everything has been settled into an account?
2.2
    Α
         (Green) Correct. Yes.
         So, again, following up on Commissioner Simpson's
23
24
         question, now, here we are, some months later,
```

```
1
         April of 2024, do you feel -- do you have
 2
         visibility now? Do you know, if I asked you what
 3
         your spend was as of today, at 9:00 a.m., would
 4
         you know? And, if so, you can say.
 5
         (Green) Actually, I owe Jeff a report. I have
 6
         better visibility, but I can't go get it myself
 7
         yet.
 8
         Okay. So, if you -- so, if I asked you for a
    Q
 9
         record request, which I'm not going to do, but,
10
         if I did, and I said "Give me the vegetation
11
         management spend as of March 30th", you could
12
         produce that?
13
         (Green) Yes.
    Α
14
         Okay. And could you have produced that same
15
         report on April 25th of 2023, for the end of
16
         March 2023?
17
    Α
         (Green) No.
18
         Okay. Thank you. Because I think, in the end
    Q
19
         here, in the end what we have is sort of an
20
         execution issue from the Company, and there may
21
         be good reasons for it, but it's an execution
22
         issue. So, you underperformed your miles by
23
         about ten percent and you underspent your budget
24
         by about ten percent. So, in the end, it's
```

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

execution, and there might good reasons for that, SAP visibility and so forth. But, in the end, I think that's what we're looking at, in my opinion.

So, the only other question I think I have, and, if nobody has the answer to this, I will make a record request on this, was there --were there any manual journal entries at year-end?

And the reason I ask that is that there's a lot of balls in the air here, SAP issues and other stuff. And I want to make sure that the -- I want to understand if there were any manual journal entries entered to sort of square things up at the end of the year, or that these were just the way that the natural expenses fell out?

 $\label{eq:decomposition} \mbox{Does anyone know the answer to that?}$ $\mbox{Ms. Green?}$

- A (Garcia) Go ahead. No, go ahead.
- A (Green) Pam and I reconciled and went through things, and we made some adjustments. So, those are journal entries, we did make some adjustments in February, comparing her report versus my

```
1
         report.
 2
         Okay.
 3
          (Garcia) And Pam is -- I'm sorry to interrupt.
 4
         Pam is -- she's referring to our Accounting
 5
         staff, --
 6
    Q
         Okay.
 7
          (Garcia) -- our colleagues in Accounting.
 8
         Okay.
 9
          (Garcia) So, every year, to prepare for this
10
         case, they go through this whole process of
11
         reconciling all the numbers, and preparing that.
12
         So, would the annual report align with the report
1.3
         here, or were there journal entries made
14
         subsequent to the annual report close or you're
15
         annual close process?
16
          (Green) I don't know that I can answer that
17
         question.
18
         The reason I say that is, if you had a journal
    Q
19
         entry in February, that would be after your
20
         financial close. So, then, what you're reporting
21
         here would be different by that amount to what
22
         the Company closed the books at.
23
    Α
          (Garcia) It's a function of, when you guys were
24
         pens down on the final numbers for this filing,
```

```
1
         and when that occurred. I'm trying to remember,
 2.
         it's all a blur.
 3
                    [Court reporter interruption.]
 4
    BY THE WITNESS:
 5
          (Green) It was done in February.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That would have been
 7
         post close.
                    WITNESS GARCIA: Yes.
 8
 9
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: So, you would have
10
         closed the books in January, I assume.
11
                    Okay. Let me make a record request,
12
         just to keep it simple. Just please send a
1.3
         simple list of all the journal entries that were
14
         done, the manual journal entries that were done
15
         post -- well, beginning January 1st, 2024, for
16
         the numbers here. So, just a listing of all the
17
         manual journal entries, and then maybe just a
18
         quick comment on what it was for, "15,000 to
19
         correct this", or whatever it was. And I just
20
         want to make sure that we have a clean record of
21
         what's in the 2,149,760, both the natural
2.2
         expenses that would have happened, and then the
23
         adjustments in the manual journal entries.
24
         So, --
```

1 MR. SHEEHAN: And I think you said 2. this, Mr. Chairman, but it related to the numbers 3 in this filing? CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Yes. 4 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. 6 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Yes. Just for 7 vegetation management, and I'm really just comparing the 2,149,760, the actual expenses, and 8 how much of that was a manual journal entry, you 9 10 know, post close. 11 MR. SHEEHAN: And just a caution, I'm not sure the conversation between Ms. Green and 12 1.3 Ms. Moriarty, when they reconcile numbers for 14 this filing, was journal entry stuff. They 15 certainly did their work, but, as you say, it was 16 post close, and may be different conversations. 17 That's all. 18 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Is there 19 anything, Ms. Green, that you would to add to or 20 ask about the request about what we're trying to 2.1 understand? 2.2 WITNESS GREEN: We did make 23 adjustments. I just don't know if they were 24 "journal entries", or if they were adjustments

made to this year's budget.

1.3

WITNESS GARCIA: Yes, we can confirm that. But, Mr. Chairman, just to clarify, is it post close or after January 1st, because I think I've heard both?

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That's a very good question, sir. I'm thinking about that myself at the moment.

So, what I'm -- I'm actually interested in the adjustments that were made for close, which would have been, generally speaking, in January, and post close. So, I'm actually -- thank you for the clarification, because I'm actually interested in both.

And, if the Company could summarize both of those, and just give a brief description of the adjustments and why the Company made the adjustments, that would give the Commission comfort, in terms of the number that's being proposed here, because we have two different issues. We have the Company saying "Hey, please assess at zero", and we have the Department saying "Please assess it at a credit." And, so, the Commission is here just trying to make sure

```
1
         that we're assessing the right amount. And, so,
 2.
         that data point would be very helpful for us to
 3
         understand -- to understand the amount that we
 4
         should be assessing via the rate.
 5
                    Okay.
                          That's all I have for the
 6
         witnesses. Commissioner Simpson?
 7
                    [Cmsr. Simpson indicating in the
 8
                    negative.]
 9
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Nothing else.
10
         can move to redirect, Attorney Sheehan.
11
                    MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  Thank you. Just a few
12
         questions to clarify things that may not have
         been clear. And it's sort of a random list.
1.3
14
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
15
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
16
         Ms. Green, there was a question about the work --
17
         not a question, you said that there were two
18
         circuits completed in the Charlestown are, the
19
         12L1 and the 12L2. When were those circuits --
20
         the trimming on those circuits completed?
21
         (Green) The 12L1 was performed in 2022 and 2023,
2.2
         completed in 2023. And just before that, they
23
         did the 12L2, which was 2022.
24
         Okay. You mentioned the mechanical trimmer,
```

```
1
         called a "Jarraff". And, for folks who want to
 2.
         look it up and see a picture, that is
 3
         J-A-R-R-A-F-F, correct?
 4
         (Green) Correct.
 5
         And it's quite a picture, too. Earlier in your
 6
         testimony you mentioned one of the buckets of
 7
         costs were used in the context of getting the
 8
         permissions, and your phrase was "mitigation of
 9
         difficult situations". Can you give us an
10
         example of what that might look like in the
11
         field?
12
         (Green) If we have a situation where a tree that
1.3
         really needs to come down, it's a high risk, but
14
         the homeowner maybe isn't that cooperative, we
15
         might need to plant a tree there, or work with
16
         the town, we do some tree planting for
17
         educational pieces. But it's to mitigate
18
         whatever the difficult situation may be, or to
19
         keep customer relations as needed. So, we manage
20
         that through tree planting or other things.
21
         And to state what may be the obvious, customers
    Q
22
         can refuse to have their trees pruned, correct?
23
    Α
         (Green) Yes, they can.
24
         There were questions about the various budget
```

```
1
         buckets that you have, and how some were over,
 2.
         some were under. And I believe you said that --
 3
         is it your testimony that you're certainly
 4
         monitoring all those, but the overriding goal is
 5
         managing to the total budget, is that fair?
 6
         (Green) Yes.
 7
         So, if with one line item gets overused, for
 8
         whatever reason, you've got to be able to
 9
         underuse another line to even things out, and
10
         vice versa?
11
         (Green) Yes. That is correct.
12
         And, as far as visibility and timing, if you were
1.3
         unable to pull the lever on a certain project to
14
         get it done by year-end, sometimes that decision
15
         point is months before the end of the year, is
16
         that correct?
17
         (Green) Yes. Correct.
18
         So, if you, for example, for certain kind of
19
         work, if you don't make the decision by July, you
20
         can't get that work done, based on whatever that
21
         kind of work is, is that fair?
2.2
    Α
         (Green) Yes. That is correct.
         On the bridge-building you talked about, is it
23
24
         fair to say that that work, getting -- let me
```

```
1
         back up. The goal of that work was to get SAP to
 2
         talk to another system, correct?
 3
    Α
          (Green) Yes.
 4
         What is that other system?
 5
          (Green) Terra Spectrum FieldNote.
 6
         And that is a software program?
 7
    Α
          (Green) Yes.
 8
         Is that company-owned or contractor-owned?
 9
          (Green) Company-owned.
10
         And what does that -- at a high level, what does
11
         that software do?
          (Green) It provides digital records of the work
12
1.3
         orders, permissions system, such that the crews
14
         can then report the work completed, or refusals
15
         or customer concerns.
16
         This is software that your contractors use, even
17
         though it's company-owned?
18
         (Green) Yes.
    Α
19
         And they actually have tablets with them that is
20
         that system, is that correct?
21
          (Green) Yes.
    Α
         Is it fair to say that that system is the core of
2.2
23
         your business?
24
          (Green) Yes.
```

1 Okay. And, so, the work to integrate that with 2 SAP is a necessary piece of your business? 3 Α (Green) Yes. 4 And, if you don't do it, we would have had to 5 hire somebody else to do it, is that fair? 6 (Green) Yes. 7 And some of the costs, obviously, are some of 8 those other people you did hire to help do that? 9 (Green) Yes. 10 Okay. Last, there were some questions from 11 Commissioner Simpson that to me suggested around 12 the limitations of you getting all the work done, 1.3 trimming all the miles. And just to be clear, 14 was the limitation in miles your work capacity or 15 something else? 16 (Green) Something else. 17 And what was that? 18 (Green) I had to get to the budget. 19 So, if you had more money, you would have trimmed Q 20 more miles? 21 (Green) Yes. Α 22 Is that the simple answer? 23 Α (Green) Yes. 24 And there was a question about the five-year trim

```
1
         cycle. Have you proposed numbers that would
 2
         support a five-year trim cycle?
 3
    Α
          (Green) Yes.
 4
         And in what context have you proposed those
 5
         projections/budgets?
 6
          (Green) In the rate case.
 7
         Okay. And in prior versions of this hearing?
 8
          (Green) Yes.
 9
         Okay. And, at a high level, you are now spending
10
         roughly $2 million a year. What have you
11
         proposed that would be the cost for a five-year
12
         trim cycle?
1.3
          (Green) Four million.
14
         Okay. And that would cover what?
15
          (Green) That would cover the removal -- the tree
16
         removals to obtain the corridor or re-obtain the
17
         corridor, and perform the five-year miles, and do
18
         the education, so that we can get a more
19
         sustainable and less -- and a more prudent
20
         program in the decades to come.
21
         In a perfect world, if you had $4 million a year
    Q
2.2
         to do that, would that number come down as you
23
         were able to establish the corridor?
24
          (Green) Yes.
```

```
1
         And to the extent, it's not been discussed today,
 2.
         the corridor is the width and height of the open
 3
         space around the lines that is required by PUC
 4
         rules, is that correct?
 5
         (Green) Yes.
 6
         And, historically, Granite State did not maintain
 7
         as wide a corridor, so we've been playing
 8
         catch-up for years on that, is that correct?
 9
         (Green) Yes.
10
                    MR. SHEEHAN: That's all I have.
                                                       Thank
11
         you.
12
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I just want to make
1.3
         sure I understand before the witnesses leave.
14
         So, the last line of questioning, you're going
15
         from a -- you're proposing going from a four-year
16
         cycle to a five-year cycle. So, it's
17
         counterintuitive that the cost would then double.
18
         But what you're saying is is that you're playing
19
         catch-up on this corridor issue, and that's
20
         really the cause of it increasing?
21
                    MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  That's one piece.
                                                      The
22
         other piece is, the current budget is simply not
23
         enough. We are underfunded, and have been for
24
         years.
```

```
1
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Because, when I look
 2
         at this, so you sort of underperformed the miles
 3
         by about ten percent, and you underperformed the
 4
         max budget with the cap by about ten percent.
 5
         So, it looks like you were sort of in the
 6
         ballpark, at least for this Plan?
 7
                   MR. SHEEHAN: I guess that's a question
         for Ms. Green is, if she had spent the full
 8
 9
         2.4 million, would that be enough to maintain the
10
         five-year cycle and everything else?
11
                   WITNESS GREEN: No. I wouldn't have
12
         hit a five-year cycle with that Plan.
1.3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Hmm. Can you just
14
         quickly walk us through the math? Because I see
15
         it as you're short by ten percent on miles and
16
         your short by ten percent on the cap budget. So,
17
         why would that be?
18
                   WITNESS GREEN: 163 miles is not a
19
         five-year cycle. It's less than a five-year
20
         cycle.
21
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I see. Okay.
2.2
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
23
         And finish that thought. So, the miles budget
24
         was based on the $2 million, not on a five-year
```

```
1
         plan?
 2
         (Green) Yes.
 3
         How much -- roughly how many miles would be a
 4
         five-year?
 5
         (Green) 175.
 6
         Per year?
 7
         (Green) Uh-huh. Additionally, these aren't clean
 8
         miles. We're not doing any removals with them.
 9
         So, the corridor is slowly growing in. So,
10
         it's -- we're pruning those miles, but they're
11
         not really "clean" miles. So, it's -- and about
12
         of a million, of that $4 million, about a million
13
         of it is removals only. And, once those removals
14
         are done through a cycle, those won't -- that
15
         will be gone from the budget. And there will be
16
         less to trim, because they won't be there to need
17
         to be trimmed.
18
                   So, that a million of that budget is a
19
         one-time investment, but a good investment,
20
         because the cost has increased tremendously in my
21
         six years. So, the sooner we get to it, the
22
         better.
23
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: So, the Company,
         Mr. Sheehan, has about 875 miles, is that how I
24
```

```
1
         do the math? 175 times five?
 2
                   WITNESS GREEN: As far as cycle. Yes,
         there's also right-of-way miles. So, actually,
 3
 4
         it's a little bit more. But, yes.
 5
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: More. So, call it
 6
         900 miles, something like that?
 7
                   WITNESS GREEN: Yes.
 8
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.
 9
         Sorry, Mr. Sheehan.
10
                   MR. SHEEHAN: No, that's okay. I had a
11
         thought, it's gone now. Nothing further. Thank
12
         you.
1.3
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: All right. Oh, go
14
         ahead.
15
                   MR. SHEEHAN: You waved a piece of
16
         paper.
17
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
18
         Mr. Garcia, in the break you were able to take a
19
         look at the Bates Page 041, I believe. Can you
20
         explain what you found and the impact, if any?
21
         (Garcia) Yes. My thanks to DOE for calling this
    Α
22
         to our attention.
23
                   There is a sign issue in how the $698
24
         balance is presented in the walk-through math
```

2.

1.3

2.2

from Line 17 to 21, which were a recent add-on in this filing. The correct number can be found on Line 15, Column (c), and that is the negative 698, or an over-recovery of 698.

But, to clarify, two things: One, whether it's positive or negative, it doesn't change the proposed rate for the coming year, which would still be zero, due to the fact that it would not round to five decimal points.

Secondly, that amount is forecast. The last two months — the first ten months shown in that exhibit are actuals, the last two are forecasts. So, 698 is the product of two months of forecasting. So, when we start next year's reconciliation over again, we'll use what's actually booked after all the books close on the period.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. That's all.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you for your time today. The witnesses are dismissed.

And we'll call the Department's witness to the stand.

 $$\operatorname{Mr.}$$ Patnaude, if you could please swear in the witness.

```
1
                    (Whereupon JAY E. DUDLEY was duly sworn
 2
                    by the Court Reporter.)
 3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. And just
 4
         before we begin, I think the thing I'd like to
 5
         clarify is I believe the only issue left on the
 6
         table is "Is the correct rate zero or is the
 7
         correct rate minus 0.00002?" And, so, that's
         what the Commission at this point is trying to
 8
 9
         understand.
10
                    So, please proceed.
11
                    MS. BAILINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12
         Good afternoon, Mr. Dudley.
1.3
                      JAY E. DUDLEY, SWORN
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
    BY MS. BAILINSON:
15
16
         Would you please state your name and the position
17
         with the Department?
18
         My name is Jay Dudley. And I am a Utilities
19
         Analyst for the Electric Division in the
20
         Department of Energy.
21
         Thank you. Have you testified before the
2.2
         Commission before?
23
    Α
         Yes, I have.
24
         Did you prepare the Department's technical
```

```
1
         statement in this proceeding?
 2
         Yes, I did.
         Do you have any corrections or updates to your
 3
 4
         technical statement?
 5
         Yes, I do. I have one correction, on Page 2, in
 6
         the first paragraph, the next to the last line in
 7
         the first paragraph, "Charlestown circuit" should
         be "Bellows Falls/Charlestown circuits", plural.
 8
 9
         Thank you, Mr. Dudley. With that one correction,
10
         do you adopt the technical statement as your
11
         sworn testimony in this proceeding?
12
         Yes, I do.
1.3
         Thank you. And could you please give a brief
14
         summary of your technical statement?
15
    Α
                     The Department reviewed Liberty's
         Yes. Yes.
16
         2023 VMP Plan. And we found it to be generally
17
         consistent with the terms of the Settlement
18
         Agreement reached in DE 19-064, in that Liberty
19
         does file the requisite filings every year, the
20
         VMP Plan, of course, and then their
21
         reconciliation filing. They do overall stay
2.2
         within the $2.2 million budget that was set in
23
         the Settlement Agreement.
24
                   And there is some question as to
```

2.

1.3

2.2

whether or not they are actually following a four-year trim cycle. We do address that issue in the Department's testimony that was filed in the rate case in DE 23-039.

But, overall, we believe that they are compliant with the Settlement Agreement as agreed to.

We did look at the Excel spreadsheets and the attachments. We did not catch the error in Attachment 4 until late, after the -- after the tech statement was filed. Mr. Garcia just said that the actual impact is de minimis, in terms of rate impact, because the number is so small. We would tend to agree with that statement.

Aside from that, we found no other issues mathematically with the filing. However, based on what we learned in the rate case, regarding the 2022 numbers that were used as the basis for the 2022 test year, and also what we've also learned about the continuing mapping issues and mapping errors in the SAP system into 2023 and into 2024, the Department does not consider the numbers used as the basis for the VMP rate,

```
1
         which were the 2023 numbers, to be reliable.
 2.
                    And, so, our recommendation to the
 3
         Commission is that the Commission delay approval
 4
         or disapproval until such time as the Audit
 5
         Division can complete their work in auditing the
 6
         VMP numbers.
 7
                   Aside from that, we have no problem
         with the $50,000 carryover, as long as it's used
 8
         towards working down the backlog in hazard trees.
 9
10
                    MS. BAILINSON: Thank you, Mr. Dudley.
11
         I don't have any further questions.
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Let's turn to
12
         the Office of the Consumer Advocate?
1.3
14
                    MR. CROUSE: Thank you. The OCA has no
15
         questions for Mr. Dudley.
16
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. We'll turn
17
         now to Liberty?
18
                    MR. SHEEHAN: No questions. Thank you.
19
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: All right.
20
         Commissioner Simpson?
2.1
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you for your
2.2
         testimony, Mr. Dudley.
23
    BY CMSR. SIMPSON:
24
         Can you just briefly address the thought process
```

1 for using the carryforward towards hazard trees 2 specifically? 3 Yes. Our findings, or the findings of our 4 consultants in the rate case, RCG, River 5 Consulting Group, was that, and this goes back to 6 our work in Liberty's LCIRP docket, in DE 21-044, 7 and the backlog of hazard trees has been 8 consistent, it's growing. It became apparent to 9 us that it's not being properly prioritized. 10 And, therefore, if any other monies are to be 11 spent, we believe that they should be dedicated 12 to the backlog of hazard trees. And I heard Ms. Green state that -- I believe she used the word 1.3 14 "imperative", and indeed they are. 15 However, if you look at the budget on 16 Attachment 1, the entire 50,000 was not spent on 17 hazard trees. So, we believe that it's

appropriate that Liberty commit those funds to working down that backlog.

I can imagine that the Department has greater visibility into customer experiences with tree trimming-related issues. Have you received or been made aware of any concerns on the customer sides with the Company's performance in 2023?

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
1
         Not particularly with Liberty. We do -- there
 2
         are some complaints that do come in from time to
 3
                I don't handle that area in the
 4
         Department. But I'm aware that they do come in,
 5
         but I'm not aware of anything specifically.
 6
         Okay. And, with respect to the audit timing, do
 7
         you have any sense of when the Department's Audit
 8
         Division may be likely to complete the audit of
 9
         the figures presented today?
10
         I don't. I can't speak with any authority on
11
         that. From an historical perspective, with a
12
         single program, such as the VMP, early summer has
1.3
         been, June or July, has been the usual timeframe.
14
         But that's just my own opinion. I have not
         conferred with the Audit Division on that.
15
16
                   CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay. Thank you for
17
         your testimony.
18
                   WITNESS DUDLEY: Yes.
19
                   CMSR. SIMPSON: No further questions.
20
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Mr. Sheehan,
21
         when you go to closing, if the Company could
2.2
         comment on this business of ring-fencing the
23
         $50,000 for hazard, I think the Commission would
24
         appreciate your comments on that.
```

1	I think I'm good, Mr. Dudley. I
2	appreciate your analysis here. It was very
3	helpful. And I think it's fully understandable.
4	So, I have no questions.
5	I think, do you have any further
6	questions, Commissioner Simpson?
7	CMSR. SIMPSON: No thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I think we can
9	return to the Department for redirect, when
10	they're ready.
11	MS. BAILINSON: Sorry?
12	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That's okay. When
13	the Department's ready, we're ready for redirect.
14	MS. BAILINSON: Thank you. We have no
15	redirect, Mr. Chair.
16	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Okay. Thank
17	you, Mr. Dudley, for your testimony today.
18	So, Mr. Crouse, I think, just to close
19	out on our pre-discussion, I think we'll I
20	think we'll, because of the issues at hand, and
21	what the OCA wants to look at, Mr. Underhill
22	would not be needed for testimony today, unless
23	you have something you would like to ask him
24	relative to the rates or the carryforward?

MR. CROUSE: Thank you for the opportunity to address that.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Currently, the OCA was not expecting to ask any more direct questions, given the scope we discussed earlier. But we're still fine offering him for cross, if any of the parties or the Commission had questions.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Would anyone like to put Mr. Underhill on the stand or should we move to close?

MR. SHEEHAN: We don't need that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. The Department?

MS. BAILINSON: We do not. Thank you.

MR. CROUSE: Just one matter to bring before the Commission's attention. We were intending to correct a brief error that appears in the technical statement. The sentence discussing the "ten percent additional overage" got cut short. And, so, we were just going to identify and correct that. But we can make that clear when we file it as Exhibit 3, due to that oversight.

```
1
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Perfect.
 2.
         Thank you, Mr. Crouse.
 3
                    Okay. Okay, thank you. Thank you
 4
         again, Mr. Dudley. You're dismissed. And please
 5
         stay seated where you are, that would be great.
 6
                    So, let's move on to closing
 7
         statements. But, before we do, is everyone okay
 8
         with moving Exhibits 1 through 3 onto the record,
         once filed?
 9
10
                    [Atty. Crouse indicating in the
11
                    affirmative. 1
12
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.
1.3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That's okay?
                    MS. BAILINSON: Yes.
14
15
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. So,
16
         hearing no objections, the Commission moves those
         exhibits onto the record.
17
                    And we'll make "Exhibit 4" the question
18
         that I had asked earlier.
19
                    (Exhibit 4 reserved for record
20
2.1
                    request.)
2.2
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: And I'll restate it
23
         just for clarity. And, then, I'll ask you,
24
         Mr. Sheehan, at the end what would be a good time
```

for that. And, as a preamble or as a preview,
I'll mention that, because -- sorry, because
you're asking for the rates on May 1st, we're on
a bit of an expedited process. So, if I could
ask for it by close of business Monday, even that
would be tight for us, but we could still get the
order out the next day?

2.

1.3

2.2

MR. SHEEHAN: I, frankly, have no idea how long it will take. But, if you give us a deadline, we'll make every effort to meet it.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. Thank you. I promise not to make it four o'clock today.

So, let's do Monday, by midnight. And, then, I think the Commission will still have one day to write the order. So, that's just probably the best we can do. And, if you can file it sooner, please do. That would make our life a lot easier.

And I'll just repeat the question, just because -- in case you have any questions. But the question was around any adjustments, and I appreciate the word "adjustment", as opposed to "journal entry", because it's just more clear

this way. So, any adjustments that the Company made for the annual close, that would mean post January 1st, any adjustments that the Company made in that process, in other words, adjustments that were needed to close the books? And, then, after the books were closed, were any further adjustments made post close?

2.

1.3

2.2

So, that's just what the Commission is trying to understand. Again, this is in the spirit of validating that we're approving the right number for rates. And, though, the Commission would appreciate, and I'm sure the parties would, too, a brief description of each of those adjustments, what they were for.

Okay. Great. So, let's move to closing, starting with Liberty.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.

The issues that the Commission had us focused on, and I think appropriately so, is what rate to approve, and whether the Company is okay with the condition on that \$50,000. And, no surprise, we will ask you to approve the rate that we filed, for the reasons presented in the filing and orally.

As we said in the hearing a couple days ago, not approving the rate, whether it's not issuing an order or a contingent rate, is we think unnecessary. And we think the -- well, I'll leave it there.

2.

1.3

1 4

2.1

2.2

I could give you 20 seconds on the big picture, just so you know where the Company is coming from on the extra costs, well, the cost in the four-year in the Settlement Agreement.

It is a bit legalese, but the

Settlement Agreement is not the source of the

requirements here. The Settlement Agreement,

everyone -- every agreement says this, and the

19-064 Settlement said it as well, it's

conditioned on Commission approval. So, the

governing document is not the Settlement

Agreement, it's the order. Of course, the order

incorporates the Settlement Agreement.

So, what we have in this case is an order to complete a four-mile circuit -- a four-year cycle, in an order that we can only collect 2.2 million. And, whether we agreed to it or not, I think is relevant, and it simply can't happen. As we've demonstrated many times,

1 we cannot complete a four-year trim cycle on 2. \$2 million a year. And, if the Commission 3 ordered us to, and we spent the 600,000 4 referenced in OCA's tech statement, or some other 5 number, we do believe that is a taking. So, 6 that's our basic position there. 7 I know we've litigated it elsewhere. 8 I'm not suggesting it needs to be addressed here. 9 But just so you know where we stand. And I'll leave it there. So, thank 10 11 you. 12 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.

Let's turn now to the Office of the --

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Well, before we do that, Mr. Sheehan, I just want to clarify one thing. So, on the hazard trees, you were okay with ring-fencing that?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. And there was one other thing that I promised to do. Yes. Ms. Green just privately confirmed that she will absolutely spend the \$50,000 on hazard trees in the upcoming year, and we have no problem with that.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I'm sorry,

```
1
         Mr. Sheehan, before, and I don't want you to
 2.
         forget your next point, but is that now $100,000,
 3
         because it wasn't spent this year, and so it's
         additive to next year? Or, is that 50,000 total?
 4
 5
                   What are we -- I just want to make sure
 6
         we're all saying the same thing.
 7
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Ms. Green's understanding
         is it's a promise to spend $50,000 total this
 8
 9
         year.
10
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
                                       On hazard.
11
         that the other parties' understanding as well?
12
                    [Atty. Sheehan and Ms. Green
1.3
                    conferring.]
14
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. She has confirmed
15
         that the existing budget of 50,000 will be
16
         increased by the 50,000 coming from this case.
17
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: So, now 100,000?
18
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.
19
                   CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. The parties
20
         don't need to confirm. I know that that's what
21
         they were looking for.
2.2
                   All right. Thank you.
23
                   MR. SHEEHAN: And the other item I said
24
         I would update you on is the ClearWay litigation.
```

As you all know, ClearWay walked off our property several years ago, leaving us high and dry. And we brought suit against them, losing track of the years, it's probably two years ago now, early in the year, which was the year after it happened.

We -- last summer, we had a mediation with ClearWay. We reached a handshake agreement. I'll be polite about this, ClearWay and its counsel have been difficult to deal with forever. Last week, we got finally a confirmation that the settlement documents are okay and they're going to sign them.

The settlement provides for, frankly, small payments, in the hundreds of thousands of dollars range, several hundred thousand, rather than the couple million that we probably could have presented at a trial. It was based on our own investigation that ClearWay is a one-man operation, essentially working out of a wad of cash in his pocket. It was really a fly-by-night operation. We've looked, we've scoured, there are no assets there.

So, from our perspective, and we'll

present this at the right time, we've done our due diligence to get what we can from ClearWay and its principal. Whether we actually see money, frankly, is an open question. But we will have a settlement agreement, with some dollars, with some enforcement mechanisms. And we'll just have to wait to see if anything comes out of it.

2.

1.3

2.2

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.

Now, we'll turn to the Office of the Consumer Advocate, and Attorney Crouse.

MR. CROUSE: Thank you.

Recognizing the issues that we flagged at the start of the hearing today, I won't go back through all of them, recognizing the scoping issue.

But, just briefly, in response to what Attorney Sheehan has said, clearly, the OCA has a disagreement. And I would just fairly quickly point out, back in 2022, the Commission, in its Order Number 26,620, and that just discusses some of the low achievement that Liberty achieved in that vegetation management year, where it talked about, just because Consolidated backed out of the arrangement, that doesn't justify shifting

the costs back to customers.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

And, so, the OCA is open to either participating in the rate case, or, if the Consumer Advocate decides, I'll update you, a petition is going to be filed for an investigation. And, in light of the tight turnaround, I'll try to aim for Monday, if possible.

But I just wanted to say that, in respect to the issues presently in the hearing, the OCA is not objecting, as long as its reserving its right to enforce the Settlement Agreement.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you, Attorney Crouse.

And, finally, we'll wrap up with the New Hampshire Department of Energy.

MS. BAILINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

To conclude, the Department has reviewed the Company's filing. The Department finds that Liberty's implementation of the 2023 VMP was generally consistent with the program goals set out in the Settlement Agreement

approved by the Commission in Liberty's rate case, in Docket DE 19-064.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

However, the Department remains

concerned about the ongoing lack of progressive

achievement in terms of reducing the growing

backlog of hazard trees, failure to meet goals of

miles cut, and lack of prioritization of cuts,

and particularly involving the

Charlestown/Bellows Falls circuit.

The Department has no objection, as we've discussed before, to the Department's request of carrying -- I mean, to the Company's request of carrying 50,240 underspent in calendar year 2023 over, but with condition putting this towards hazard tree removal, consistent with testimony in the base rate case, DE 23-039.

The Department objects to adjusting rates until an audit has been completed relative to the SAP operating system problems, and the Commission rules on the reliability of all 2022 and 2023 accounting data, and the Department's Audit Division has completed its review of this filing.

Therefore, the Department would

```
1
         recommend that, until the Commission's ruling,
 2.
         relative to these overarching matters, that the
 3
         Commission continue and extend the existing
 4
         credit of 0.00002 to remain in effect until the
 5
         Commission issues its final decision.
 6
                    Thank you.
 7
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. And,
 8
         before we adjourn, is there anything else that we
 9
         need to consider today?
10
                    [Atty. Sheehan indicating in the
11
                    negative.]
12
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Seeing none.
1.3
                    Well, first, let me thank everyone for
14
         their time today, in particular the witnesses.
15
         We'll take the issues presented here today under
16
         advisement, and work to get an order out by
17
         May 31st, if everyone could help us by making
18
         timely filings, that would be --
19
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: The first.
20
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I'm sorry, what did
2.1
         I say?
2.2
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: You said "31st".
23
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: By May -- oh, no,
24
         that's not right, is it? Sorry. We'll make that
```

```
"April 30th".
 1
                    Okay. Anything else we need to cover?
 2
                    [No verbal response.]
 3
                    CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. We are
 4
 5
          adjourned.
                     (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned
 6
 7
                    at 3:36 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```